

# TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING August 31, 2010 Council Chambers 2:00 PM

### 1. CALL TO ORDER

Councilman Childs called the meeting to order at 2:00 PM. In attendance were Council subcommittee members Mr. Samples, Mr. Childs and Mr. Smith. Staff members in attendance were Interim Administrator Fellner, Clerk Pinnell and Building and Zoning Director Donevant. Also in attendance were Brian Dix and Leah Quattlebaum from SCDOT, and Christopher Clark from the Waccamaw Regional Council of Governments/Grand Strand Area Transportation Study.

Mr. Samples made a motion to nominate Mr. Childs as Chairman of the Transportation subcommittee. Mr. Smith seconded the motion. MOTION CARRIED.

#### 2. BUSINESS

Ms. Fellner asked Mr. Dix to explain the agenda items to be discussed. Mr. Dix distributed a handout to the subcommittee. Mr. Dix gave a PowerPoint presentation that showed maps of future projects.

# 3. REVIEW OF CURRENTLY FUNDED PROJECTS

## a. Glenns Bay Road Widening

Mr. Dix presented the Glenns Bay widening project photos. He explained that it is a sales tax project which is funded by the Horry County sales tax program. Part of this road is in the Town of Surfside Beach town limits; it goes up to south of Evergreen Circle. It will be widened to three lanes up to Spanish Oak Drive and then it will go to 5 lanes and an interchange will be put in at US 17 Bypass, Glenns Bay and Homestown. Mr. Samples asked if there would be a turn lane. Mr. Dix answered it is what they call a two-way left turn lane; these are seen often on SC 544 and that it would be similar to those turns. Mr. Smith asked if there would be wide shoulders. Mr. Dix answered that the plan is for two foot wide shoulders and there would also be a sidewalk installed. The sidewalks would be installed on both sides. The status is that they are in the process of acquiring right of ways. This would start within the next few months and the project should be ready by next summer. Mr. Samples asked when the property owners would be notified regarding acquiring right of ways. Mr. Dix answered that they are in the process of hiring a consultant to do the right of ways and as soon as the consultant is on board the owners would be notified; it is anticipated to be within the next few months. Mr. Smith asked about the part of Glenns Bay Road that touches Business 17; would this be 5 lanes and would it have sidewalks also. Mr. Dix stated that there would be sidewalks installed up to Business 17 and the lanes would remain at the same configuration. The 5 lanes would be at the Bypass. There would be 4 lanes at Business 17 but the turn lanes would be included in those lanes and it would taper down to 3 lanes as you pass the Hardees.

#### b. US 17 Business and Glenns Bay Road Intersection

Mr. Dix reported on the US 17 and Glenns Bay Intersection improvement project. This project will consist of extending the left turn lane on US 17. This would be contingent up closing the median in that location. This would require the support of the Town. Crosswalks would be added where they currently do not exist. Mr. Dix reported that the plan was to make a more pedestrian friendly intersection there at Glenns Bay and Business 17. The median would stay open on the right turn side of the road but they are requesting it to be closed on the left turn side of 17. Mr. Dix stated that if the town supports this plan he could construct a letter requesting this support. Mr. Dix stated that this project was available through separate funding but they wanted to tie the projects in together with the Glenns Bay Road widening project. How people would get to Legends in concert with the median closed off was discussed. There would be options to u-turn or to go down Surfside Drive and go around the corner. Mr. Smith asked if it would be appropriate for the town to put up signs to direct the public to a business. An example of Disney World using signs to direct the public to their location was mentioned. Mr. Dix stated that he would talk to his district officer regarding this. Ms. Fellner stated that on the Bypass there are Aquarium signs. Mr. Samples stated that being that this is a resort area signs are occasionally used. Mr. Smith suggested this be looked into so that people could be directed to Legends in the safest manner. Mr. Samples asked Ms. Fellner if she knew if Legends was aware of this plan. Ms. Fellner answered that she does not believe they are aware. There was some discussion regarding the report of there being a possibility of Legends moving their location.

There was some discussion regarding the crosswalks to be installed. Mr. Dix stated that the crosswalks would meet the sidewalk. SCDOT would be willing to work with the town to keep with the look the town wants to achieve. They would use stamped concrete that looked like brick. Using actual brick is a safety and maintenance issue on a highway. Mr. Smith asked if the crosswalk would have the light with the button to push. Mr. Dix confirmed that would be part of the project. Mr. Smith asked if there would be some sort of concrete median available to pedestrians in case they could not make it all the way across. Mr. Dix stated that they could look at adding the concrete refuge island. Mr. Clark reported on the signal timing. Mr. Clark stated that the lights are set to have 2 seconds per foot and when someone pushes the button if there is 100 feet this would give an individual 200 seconds to cross. Mr. Dix stated that SCDOT would make sure the timing syncs up to the best case scenario for this project. It was confirmed that the project would actually begin after the summer season next year.

# c. US 17 Business and 5th Avenue N.

Mr. Dix reported on the US 17 Business and 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue North project. This project was submitted to the Town of Surfside Beach sometime last year. The project has \$120,000 of funding towards it for this fiscal year. If the town is in support of the project the solution is to remove the current stop sign at the frontage road, temporary barricades would then be installed to block off the entrances to the frontage road. This would eliminate the current safety issues. Mr. Smith stated that the Post Office

off of Business 17 is right next to that area and the people from the town cross over Business 17 and make a left onto that frontage road to enter the Post Office so this would cause a problem for the public if that frontage road is blocked off where planned. Mr. Smith stated that the towns Representative Nelson Hardwick suggested to not completely block the frontage road but to block southbound traffic on the frontage road from that intersection; from the upper barricade and back. Mr. Smith stated that this is the plan that the town would like to consider. The stop sign would still need to remain. Mr. Dix stated that the barricades would be temporary. Mr. Dix stated that he would speak with Michael Bethea from SCDOT and if this is approved he would follow up with a letter. Mr. Samples stated that they cannot locally support the plan to block off both sides because "it doesn't make sense." There was some discussion regarding the use of Azalea and that this is currently only known by the locals. There was some discussion about going up 5<sup>th</sup> and then take Azalea around to the post office. Mr. Smith stressed that the subcommittee definitely wants that intersection to be worked on and they want to make sure it moves forward. If there are disagreements then they need to be worked out because this definitely needs to be addressed.

111112113

114115

116

117118

119

120

121

122

123124

125

126

127128

129

130

131

132

133

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107 108

109

110

#### d. 2011-2015 GSATS Intersection Improvements

Mr. Dix presented the 2011-2015 improvements adding that nothing has been planned as of yet but it is good to plan and get the public involved. US 17 business enhancements including installing signals were discussed. Placing a light at 10<sup>th</sup> South feasibility for this location is a future discussion. It was confirmed that some preliminary work could be done but these items are awaiting funding. The projects get ranked. It was confirmed that traffic counts are done by GSATS and some are done in house by SCDOT. There is a plan to possibly place a signal somewhere between 14<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> North. Mr. Childs stated that council is strong on suggesting placing a light at 16<sup>th</sup> North' it's a wide road that currently has a sidewalk. Mr. Samples asked about the proposed light improvement. Mr. Dix explained that installing a light would have to go under observation and review; it would have to be a certain distance from other signals, it must be assured that it would not impede on any other accesses; they would have to make sure it would not possibly cause other unsafe issues. Ms. Fellner stated that she was under the impression that SCDOT did not want lights in certain places because it did not meet the counts needed to propose that location. Mr. Smith suggested that the next time Mr. Dix is in town reviewing these projects to contact the subcommittee so that they can go out and review it along with him to provide input. Mr. Dix stated that he will have a scoping meeting to determine the scope of the project and he would contact Ms. Fellner to set up a meeting. Mr. Childs stated that this subcommittee will work hard to work together to get these projects done.

134 135 136

137

138

139

140

#### e. GSATS Enhancements

Mr. Chris Clark explained the GSATS process. Mr. Clark stated that GSATS works with federal dollars that come from the gas tax. Locally they get a little over 6 million dollars a year and they work through a committee structure which is a study team and a policy committee. The study team consists of the town administrator and

this is where projects come forward are ranked and voted on and then they come to the policy committee which the mayor sits on with local State representatives. They vote to approve the funds and then the DOT highway commission finalizes it. This is how projects get funded through GSATS. Mr. Dix and Ms. Quattlebaum work in the Columbia DOT office and once the project has money they are then on board to do the engineering and secure contractors to do the work. Another player involved in the process is Mr. Michael Bethea out of the Florence office; he is the District Traffic Engineer. There needs to be an agreement with the town approved by council which is called a municipal agreement. Once something is designed before they can get a right of way and do the project it has to be agreed upon completely. Both Mr. Bethea and the town have to agree on the projects. There are currently several projects identified, there just needs to now be an agreement to move forward. Traffic counts have been taken at both locations; the projects will be ranked and they will be assigned money sometime between 2011 and 2015. There is currently a list of 23 projects and this will be done depending on where they are ranked.

155156157

158159

160161

162

163164

165

166

167

168

169170

171

172

173

174

175

176177

178179

180

181

182 183

184

185

186

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

Mr. Clark stated that the traffic count volume at 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue South does not warrant a traffic signal; the volume is actually coming from the water park side; Mr. Bethea has a concern with how close the frontage roads are; it is a similar situation to 5<sup>th</sup> North. The frontage road is too close to US 17 for a traffic signal to operate appropriately; one scenario considered was if US 17 frontage road was closed. Traffic from the water park could then go out one way; the south section would be closed with a barrier. Mr. Childs stated that he feels that anywhere the town can block off some of the frontage roads would help adding that they seem to be one of the major complaints of every resident. There was a discussion from council regarding having a light placed between 14<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> and Mr. Clark stated that his discussion with Mr. Bethea was that 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue was the street identified as needing a light. 14<sup>th</sup> goes to the church and is closed so there was no count done there but 13<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> were all counted. Mr. Bethea had stressed that the light should go at Platt Blvd and 13<sup>th</sup>; as of now it would be accepted for that location; it is now up to the town to formally state that council would not want a light at that location. Mr. Samples stated that the concern with installing a light at 13<sup>th</sup> is traffic from Platt Blvd going directly onto 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue North; it creates another direct shot to the beach through a neighborhood. Mr. Samples asked if Mr. Bethea was willing to discuss the design of a light at 13th. Mr. Clark stated that at this point it would be the towns' responsibility to propose alternatives. Mr. Samples stated that council has spoken clearly on 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Mr. Clark stated that according to the letter the frontage road would not have access to the light at 13<sup>th</sup>; the frontage road would be closed/barricaded on both sides. Mr. Clark stated that during the study there were very few trips of people going straight across towards the beach most people coming out of Platt were turning onto Business 17. Mr. Smith asked about the count for 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue; Mr. Clark stated that he is not sure what the count was but he does know that it did not warrant the need for a signal. The review is looking for left turners. Mr. Samples stated that the town has a number of roads that are properly suited for direct traffic to the beach; Melody Lane, 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue South, Surfside Drive, 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue North and 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> North. Mr. Samples stated that 13<sup>th</sup> is one of the nicest neighborhood roads in town in terms of a

187

188

189

190 191

192193

194

195

196 197

198

199 200

201

202

203

204

205206

207

208

209

210211

212

213

214

215216

217218

219220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227228

229

230

231

a residential community and council is against opening it up to direct traffic to the beach. Mr. Samples stated that it looks like there is more work to do. Mr. Clark stated that there are 2 to 3 alternatives heard including one from the County that the town can review. It was confirmed that 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue North has \$160,000 funded for 2010. Mr. Smith asked if the town does not spend it this year is it lost or can the town hold it until a permanent fix is agreed upon. Mr. Clark stated that it will not be removed from the program until it is completely closed out; the money can be used at a later time and the budget would then be adjusted. It was explained that the money must be spent on identified projects only. The value of how much is spent on each project is a technical committee determination; they are all based on engineering cost estimates and are not guessed amounts. Mr. Clark confirmed that the 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue amount of \$500,000 was a projected cost given by the town and that a cost benefit analysis will be done on this area. Mr. Clark confirmed that 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue South would warrant the need for a light if the volume on the opposite side of the road where the water park is located is taken into consideration. The thought is to temporarily barricade a portion of the frontage road then hold the funds until the intersection is closed and if the town agreed to the barricade a signal would be part of the project. It would then need to be engineered and formalized. Mr. Smith asked if Mr. Dix could meet with council for an hour or two to go over these proposed projects adding that the town wants to move forward and they would like to know what alternatives they could propose. It was confirmed that Ms. Fellner would be the contact to arrange the meeting.

Mr. Clark explained that there is \$40,000 in landscaping funds with a \$10,000 match for a project on the US 17 median. The application was completed and it is currently being reviewed by SCDOT and it may take 2 to 3 months for approval. They would then ask for the \$10,000 from the town and it would move forward. The planting would be done next winter. Mr. Clark reported that regarding bus shelters they provided Coast RTA with money to purchase and install the bus shelter. There would be 2 installed in Surfside one on the north side and one on the south side. The town would need to determine a location for these shelters. Ms. Fellner stated that the bus currently stops in front of town hall and it could be set up in that area. It could be set up in the turning lane and on the opposite side it would need to be set up off the frontage road. Mr. Clark explained that Coast RTA has been providing the towns with the materials and has been asking for assistance from the towns to install them. They have had the concrete pad installed by the towns and have had the public works department putting them up. It was confirmed that Coast RTA currently has the shelters ready for pickup. Mr. Smith asked if one could be done at a time. Mr. Clark stated that it is preferred to do both at the same time; if individuals saw one stop they would get confused and be on the wrong side for pickup.

It was agreed that the subcommittee would hold meetings on an as needed basis. Mr. Childs stressed that the subcommittee does not want to do anything to hold up any projects.

# Transportation Committee Meeting August 31, 2010

| 232<br>233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237 | 4. ADJOURNMENT  Mr. Smith made a motion to adjourned at 3:10 PM. | urn. Mr. Samples seconded the motion. Meeting was |
|--|--|---|
| 238<br>239<br>240                      |  |   |
| 241<br>242<br>243<br>244<br>245        | Approved Date:   | Sharon Pinnell, Town Clerk                        |
| 246<br>247<br>248<br>249<br>250<br>251 | Robert Childs, Subcommittee Member                               | Douglas Samples, Subcommittee Member              |
| 252                                    | Roderick Smith, Subcommittee Member                              |   |