

TOWN OF SURFSIDE BEACH ◆ TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS May 10, 2011 ♦ 6:30 P.M.

TOWN COUNCIL REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Deaton called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM. Mayor Deaton, Mayor Pro Tem Childs and councilmembers Blair, Smith, Dodge, Johnson and Samples. was a quorum. Staff present: Administrator Duckett; Deputy Administrator, Fellner; Clerk, Pinnell, Police Chief, Frederick; Fire Chief, Packard and Building Director Donevant. Attorney Mr. Moss was also present.

2. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

Councilmember Mark Johnson gave the invocation and Mayor Deaton led the pledge of allegiance.

3. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mr. Smith made a motion to approve the agenda. Mr. Childs seconded the motion. All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

23

4. MINUTES APPROVAL – Regular meeting held April 26, 2011.

Mr. Samples made a motion to approve the minutes from April 26, 2011 as corrected. Mr. Childs seconded the motion. All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Deaton stated that if anyone from the public has spoken on the pier issue previously he asked that they defer their comments to allow others to speak. This item would be limited to 5 speakers at 3 minutes each to allow 15 minutes on this subject. Other agenda item comments would be welcomed.

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS- Agenda Items only 3 minutes per speaker

35 36

51

Randle Stevens, 412 1st Ave. N.: "I want to speak on the pier resolution. I'm glad this was brought up. After reading the Myrtle Beach Herald information, the WMBF, Sun News and Facebook page called Surfside Free Press, I was able to come to a conclusion that, why should the citizens take half of what they were offered last year versus this year. According to the Myrtle Beach Herald . . . "

Mayor Deaton: "Sir I'm going to ask you not to quote the newspapers. If you have a public comment, make the comment . . . "

Mr. Stevens: "This is a public comment. You offered \$120,000 a year or \$600,000 over 5 years plus \$205,000 in renovations for a total of \$805,000 to the citizens of Surfside Beach for the pier plus 5% of all gross income over one million dollars. Then this year we get offered \$280,000 over 5 years to the citizens, \$135,000 in pier renovations totaling a total of \$415,000 totaled. That's kind of like if I had a house and I rented it for \$1,000 last year and this year I came up and I said well I'll take \$500,000 for it. It just doesn't make good business sense. This is a business as you said, Mayor, this is a business meeting, so, it should be handled as a business and we should get the best bang for our buck. According to the minutes you just approved,

 people have looked at it and rejected it because the cost of repair', (***) should have a restaurant or we should reject the one and only bid. My question is who were those 12 other people? I haven't heard anything about anybody bidding. I know I've been a real estate broker since 1983 and one of the things you're going to require a real estate broker is to let you know everybody that's seen the property and being that this property has one thing that is very valuable to it, location, location, location. It should be worth a heck of a lot more than what we been asked for it or been offered. In closing, basically, this is a bad deal for the citizens. I'm all for renting the place but I want the best deal all the way around. If you can't get the best deal and you can't be completely transparent in what you do then you all are cheating the citizens. Maybe some of you, maybe all of you, I don't know. That's . . . you have to look at yourself and decide that, but basically this is a bad deal for the Town of Surfside. Thank you."

on line 1084 through 1086 and I quote councilman Smith, 'keeping in mind that 12

Mayor Deaton: "Thank you sir and I want to mention, please do not come up here and quote newspapers. If you have a comment, make it your own comment. Yes, sir. Please do not blurt out information; be considerate of other individuals."

Mr. Samples: "Mr. Mayor, point of order."

Mayor Deaton: "Mr. Samples, let's get through this please."

Mr. Samples: "We do, but I think it's unfair of you to apply restrictions to the citizens who have three minutes to speak and then they're going to sit down."

Mayor Deaton: "Certainly, and I agree with that but they do not need to read the newspaper. I think we're all capable of reading newspapers and I think that newspapers are based on, sometimes, misinformation, sometimes half truths, sometimes they get it right, but I would prefer to hear what these public comments are from these individuals, not from our local media."

Mr. Samples: "Mr. Mayor that is your opinion and you are certainly entitled to that opinion."

Ron Ott. 7th Ave. N.: "Yes the pier and the pier has been drug out a little too long

on, if Bill Rempfer's involved in this he's got my vote because I like the man. I trust

Mayor Deaton: "Yes sir, go ahead sir."

and one of the worst things we can have in a business situation is emotions and there's a lot of people very emotional over this. Some of the problem I see is that we have to have this Council, who we voted in, all the residents here, work together as one unit and they have to work together and say everything's open and this is the way I feel, you know, it's a sad situation that it's been going on for as long as it is and we need to put somebody in there, of course, it's a business. Let me voice my opinion

him and he's a man of his word."

There was applause in the audience.

Mayor Deaton: "Ladies and gentlemen, I'm sorry, please, I'm sorry, please do not clap, please do not boo, please do not jeer."

Mr. Ott: "I'm sorry, I didn't do that for . . . anything like that."

Mayor Deaton: "I know you did not sir, I'm just cautioning the audience. Thank you. We have two sides here and respect each others' side."

Mr. Ott: "... and that's what we have to stop, we have to work for just Surfside Beach and I ask you please just, for this Town, do it for the Town, not for anybody's agenda, nobody has an agenda, just the Town, that's why we put you in those little seats up there. Please do that for the people of Surfside Beach."

Kathy Goddard, 320 15th Avenue South: "I've lived here since Hugo, 1989 and I've never seen such a mess as all of you have put this Town in right now and I think everything ought to be cleared off and we ought to start the bidding all over again and forget this, if you can't be forthright and be honest, then you can't be anything. That's what this Town, that's why I moved here and we've had all these deals going and these backroom talks and it's got to stop. I say if Mr. Rempfer wants it then just stand up and say you do. Don't be trying to get somebody else to do a job for you and I'm sick of it, I'm sick of the dirtiness of this and I didn't vote for all of you for this Town to be in this mess."

John Ard, 612 Cypress Drive: "Mr. Mayor, if I recall correctly in the newspaper the day after the last meeting you made a statement in there that this kept a small group of Surf... a small group. I'd like to inform you that this small group represents a very large group of Surfside; people that are intimidated to come to these meetings on the count of the stuff that we see up here and on the count of the way that you do people. That's my opinion. I'm entitled to it and you are very, very unfair in what you do."

 Bud Joynes, 15th Ave S. 616: "Mayor, councilmen, councilwomen, I'm going to tell you the same thing that you've probably been told a thousand times is we need a restaurant. I'm not going to get into fact, numbers, because I really don't know all the numbers about this but we need a restaurant. The businesses are being effected; not only at the pier but across the street and several other places. I think the hotel's going to be opening soon so that's the basic thing and a lot of the people that use that pier would have breakfast there all the time, at that restaurant, and I think that that's what we need, is a restaurant, now I don't know about numbers and stuff like that but anyway, thank you very much."

Shelby Smith, 911 Cedar Drive N.: "My last visit to the Council was October 26th. I gave you this sheet and a request to keep Nibils restaurant and in this write up of reasons; that would have been a prudent thing to do. I mentioned goodwill, that the accountants; that term is used, has prudent value, this pier has prudent value. It has more value than is being entertained now, so this resolution is indeed a wise move to enhance this pier to its value, it's reasonable value for the Town. I think leadership is

a wonderful thing. I think leadership is stepping up to the issues. Stepping up and doing what's right for the Town; another \$50,000 in this picture is represented \$137 a day, maybe that's a few, a few parking meters a day revenue, think about it; this is the Town focal point. The pier is a major piece of this Town so I agree we ought to back off and put something in there that really is worthy, worthy of Surfside Beach. Thank you."

Mayor Deaton: "Sir, please don't clap, sir."

156 157 158

159

160

161 162

163

164 165

166

167

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

Kurt Kremer, Owner Pier Outfitters: "We desperately need a restaurant in there. My revenue is down, so far in the month of April is 22 percent. Our pier admission revenue is down 15 percent. Parking revenue is down 25 percent. If the numbers hold up like this we're not going to make it; the pier is going to be . . . you know, there's not going to be anything left at the pier for anybody to go to. That's why I ask you to please put your differences aside and consider this as a life saving measure to all the other businesses that are involved here. I've heard the number \$135,000 in renovations, I spent 30 years in construction; I don't think it's enough, I think they're going to spend way more money than that. That's a benefit directly to the Town that goes on. We don't know if this new law is going to be ratified by the Governor so please put us a restaurant in there, I need it bad. Thank you."

168 169 170

6. COMMUNICATIONS: Presented by Administrator Jim Duckett

172 173 174

171

184

185

190 191 192

193

194

195

196

197

a. Myrtle Beach Area Chamber Presentation: Brad Dean and Faye Creel Mayor Deaton thanked Mr. Dean for all he does for this community. Mr. Brad Dean, Myrtle Beach Chamber introduced himself and Faye Creel. Mr. Dean gave handouts to Council. Mr. Dean reported on upcoming promotions for the area that will not cost the taxpayers of Surfside anything; the funds come straight out of the State matching funds and accommodations taxes. Two promotions will occur in Surfside Beach and they will feature Surfside Beach. There will be a video shot in Town and there will be television promotions, one during a prime time movie on a Sunday night in key markets in North Carolina and the other is a televised broadcast. Unlike the other advertising the Chamber normally runs to promote the entire area this will specifically promote Surfside Beach. Mr. Dean stated that he feels these promotions will benefit the area. It will build awareness of the area. Fave Creel, manager of the South Strand office, spoke. Ms. Creel stated that the crews coming in to film will be staying in Surfside Beach. Reel Time with Gino is one of the films; they will be filming or showing one minute segments during an 8 PM to 10 PM timeslot. Their interviews are set up with the Mayor, a representative from Celebration Theatre and Mark Lazarus at Wild Water and Wheels. They will also be shooting general shots throughout Surfside Beach and they will also have a giveaway. The other station is doing a text in drawing. Ms. Creel thanked Ms. Fellner, Mr. Duckett, Mayor and Council. Council will be notified of the airings with the first one to be on June 12th.

b. Building Report

The building department issued a total of 129 permits in the past month totaling \$17,958.75. 102 business licenses, including renewals, were issued for a total of approximately \$18,000. There were 50 office consultations, 72 inspections and 45 code enforcement occurrences. Under stormwater: staff is evaluating all

199

200

201

202

203

204205

206

207

208

209

210

211212

213

214

215

216217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

parcels within the Town to make certain stormwater drainage easements are recorded in the Horry County Courthouse. This will allow for the Public Works department to inspect these areas and make corrections or enhancements as needed. Under training: The Director attended a FEMA and Energy Code training this month receiving CEU's required to maintain her Floodplain Manager status and her Building Official certification.

c. Police Report

The Police Department transitioned to peak season operational status effective 1st of May. The Beach Services schedule is fully implemented, as is the Parking Enforcement Team. PTO for officers will now be curtailed whenever possible, and training activities will be minimized. An emphasis shift from traffic to proactive anti-criminal patrols in the residential and beach access areas has been implemented. Recognitions: On April 16th, the efforts of officers Dave Butler and David Turbeville, Corporal Lee Black, and Sergeant Don Sliker resulted in the arrest of an individual and the seizure and return of items stolen from three vehicles. Officer Brian Clark, seasonally assigned as a Beach Patrol Officer, detained a suspect just prior to the break-in. The suspect was a fugitive from Missouri and has been extradited there. Sergeant Don Sliker and Corporal Chris King were investigating a store owner's tip regarding a partial ID on a burglary suspect from last October. Using social media research and local law enforcement contacts Sliker and King were able to fully ID and locate the first suspect within 24 hours and, eventually, arrest all three suspects including one who had relocated to Greenwood County, SC. Under training: Sergeant Rodney Keziah was certified as Training Manager by the SC Criminal Justice Academy after completing a mandatory four-day training. He has since enrolled all of our sworn officers into the online training system and much of the monthly, in-service training is now conducted utilizing this format. Beach Patrol Officers Brian Clark and Al Sanabria along with fill-in Beach Patrol Officers corporal Ken Hofmann and officer, David Turbeville completed their annual lifeguard recertification. Detective Matt Prock completed a Crime Scene Photography course conducted in Sergeant Keziah and the Police Chief attended a training session regarding public sector drug and alcohol screening policies and procedures and are, subsequently, in the process of implementing changes to the frequency of random urinalysis testing within the Police Department. High Risk Law Enforcement Activities: There were 2 taser incidents, there were no injuries or vehicles damaged. Under notable events: Police Department was able to repaint nine first responder, marked, vehicles in a new black and white color scheme at no cost to the Town. Studies have shown that two-tone, light/dark, police vehicles are many times more visible to the public, both while stationary and in motion. Members of the public consider officers in black and whites more approachable, which incorporates our initiative to directly interact with residents, visitors, and business owners. Statistically, heightened visibility of patrol vehicles by perpetrators serves to discourage criminal activity.

244

245

246247

248

249

250

251

252253

254

255256

257258

259

260

261262

d. Fire Report

Under training: During April, fire personnel received the following training, two members of the Surfside Beach Fire Department attended a South Carolina Fire Academy class that was held in Myrtle Beach. James Behrens and Josh Drew attended, completed and passed the "Rescue the Rescuer" class which prepares personnel to rescue personnel that have become disoriented, trapped or injured during an operation. Crawling, lifting, hose advancement, search and rescue and ventilation scenarios were practiced. Hose deployment and testing drills are ongoing at the station. All fire hose is tested and inspected yearly to meet the requirements of ISO as well as NFPA. A "Ropes and Knots" class, which included inspection of all types of rope used in the fire service as well as practice tying specific knots, was held at the station. Firefighters viewed a power point presentation on the reading of smoke coming from a structure or other locations as an indication of fire in progress and operational effectiveness. Calls, incidents and inspections: There were 59 calls in the month of April; 39 in March 2010 and 67 in March 2009. Calls responded to were: 1 for mutual-aid, 32 rescue and emergency medical service, 1 motor vehicle accident with injuries, 1 hazardous condition without fire, 17 service calls to assist the public and unauthorized burning, 3 good intent, 5 false alarms. Inspections and Plans: 27 completed, 7 pending, 2 plans review, 21 pre-plans, 2 public education events.

263264265

266

267268

269

e. Administrator's Report

Update on current events

Mr. Duckett stated that at the next council meeting the first reading of the budget will be presented. Mr. Samples asked when Council would receive the minutes from budget retreat. Clerk Pinnell stated that they would be included with the next meeting information.

is an annual request on behalf of police officers; it concerns the police survivors

270271272

7. BUSINESS

274275

276

273

a. <u>Proclamation #11-73: Peace Officers Memorial Day Proclamation</u> Clerk Pinnell read the proclamation that is on file. Chief Frederick stated that this

lobbying support group for the family members of officers slain and disabled in the line of duty.

277278279

280

b. Decision Paper: Pier Restaurant Lease Resolution

Mr. Childs made a motion to suspend the rules for discussion. Mr. Smith seconded the motion. All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

281 282 283

284

285

286

287

288

Mayor Deaton asked Mr. Rempfer, who was in the audience, if he had representatives in the house, his attorney or broker, with him. Mr. Rempfer stated that both individuals were in the audience. Mayor Deaton stated that the Town broker was not yet in attendance. Mayor Deaton asked the Town attorney, Ken Moss to lead a discussion on a lease for the pier restaurant space. Mayor Deaton stated that normally a lease would be done in executive session. The Town broker, Mr. Daughn arrived and Mayor Deaton asked him to join attorney Ken Moss at the podium. Mr. Moss confirmed that normally contractual matters are

289 290

held in executive session. Mayor Deaton stated "we have everyone in here who's been involved with this negotiation. We have two attorneys, we have two brokers."

Mr. Samples asked if Mr. and Mrs. Sifonios were in attendance. Mayor Deaton stated "we have Mr. Rempfer and we also have the other guarantor". Mayor Deaton stated that these are all of the players that there has been so much controversy over "that there's been backroom meetings and hiding and negotiations. These are all professionals. We have two attorneys here. I know that our attorney is probably one of the best real estate attorneys in the State of South Carolina. I know, for a fact, that our broker is one of the best brokers in the State of South Carolina. I assume that these attorneys are as well and the brokers are as well for Mr. Rempfers side. We have all the players here, ladies and gentlemen. This is full disclosure. This is transparency." At the podium were guarantors Bill Rempfer, Gary Sedlack and their attorney Bob Guinn and broker James Cole. The Town broker, John Draughn and Town attorney Ken Moss were also at the podium.

Mayor Deaton stated that the Council will be allowed to question the individuals if they would like to. Mayor Deaton asked "how did we get here and where do you feel like we are?"

Mayor Deaton asked if anyone wanted to start the dialogue. Mayor Deaton asked Mr. Moss to give the background. Mr. Moss asked how far back the Mayor wanted to go regarding the background. Mayor Deaton asked if the front row could be cleared to allow the individuals at the podium to sit. Mr. Samples stated that he has no problem having Mr. Moss give the background but asked if the Mayor could explain. Mayor Deaton stated that "we're to a point now where there's been so many accusations, innuendos and misinformation that we've got all the players that have participated here." Mayor Deaton stated that Mr. Rempfer and his partner have come forward "as guarantors and you're going to hear that tonight and they're going to explain that to you".

Mayor Deaton stated that as opposed to going into executive the individuals will be allowed to speak and Council can question them individually. Mr. Samples asked Mr. Moss if he would be able to take Council back to 2010 when Council first approved the original RFP "it was in February of 2010 and we put it on the street and, like I said in my decision paper, we learned last week, publicly that one of the members of the LLC from the original bid appears to be a member of the current LLC?" Mr. Moss stated that he can go back to that timeframe but in regard to the members of an LLC he does know if he could respond to that because he does not know the answer. Mr. Moss stated that when the Town purchased the pier his firm had just become involved in representing the Town and inherited a contract to purchase a pier which he had some concerns about but it was closed. Subsequent to that, the pier location rented by Nibils restaurant expired and it was his and attorney Smiths recommendation for the Town to go through the procurement policy and bid it out because it was a public asset and this was then done. The Town accepted this advice and an RFP was adopted which they had assistance in drafting and it then went out for bid. When it came

back there were concerns about the bids received. One was not responsive, the current restaurant operator was not responsive at all and there was a bid his firm had concerns about because of the affiliation with the prior Administrator. There was no bid the Town could accept. Because there was no other choice it was bid out again. Fast forwarding a few months, Councilman Smith, along with some other councilmembers, were very interested in the terms of the lease agreement that went out in the second RFP but Mr. Smith was the primary contact for Mr. Moss. They were tasked with trying to simplify the lease and to make it a little more tenant friendly to encourage additional bidders and "low and behold and probably because of the publicity, we didn't get any bidders on the second go around either." Mr. Moss stated that this was frustrating since if there was just one responsive bidder, under the Town procurement policy, the Council could have negotiated with that bidder as a sole source party. Mr. Moss stated that if the proprietors of Nibils wanted to stay here and they submitted a bid they could have negotiated with the Town and probably got better terms than they had but they chose not to do that. Mr. Moss stated that it was bid out twice and there was no bidder and he did not feel a judge would take issue if the Town then decided to enlist the services of a professional real estate broker to try to market the space for sale and perhaps through professional marketing activities the Town could find a tenant where the RFP process had failed. Some of the Town Council had concerns about this and at the assistance of some of the members a request was made to the Attorney General about that and the opinion was concurrence. The Attorney General agreed that this is what the Town should do. retained John Draughn and his firm to market the pier property to find a tenant which he did. Mr. Moss stated that he was not involved in the procurement of Mr. Draughn adding that he knows Mr. Draughn and has a great deal of respect for him, but is not sure how all this occurred but he does know him professionally. Mayor Deaton asked if Mr. Moss would like to refer this to Mr. Draughn at this time. Mr. Moss stated that he preferred to finish. Mr. Moss stated that he is aware that Mr. Draughn met with several prospective tenants and he knows that he had discussions with them and he knows that some offers were made. Mr. Draughn narrowed it down to what was thought to be the best three candidates and they were presented to Council in an anonymous fashion which seemed appropriate at that time because there was so much concern about who the parties were. They were assigned anonymous names and were listed as A, B and C. One of these was selected, which he understands is the person the Town currently has a lease agreement with. Mr. Moss stated that he would not go into any other details since it was discussed in executive session and this could not, under the code of laws, be disclosed. The person the Town has the contingent contract with was the person who presented the best deal of the three. After this point, due diligence was done, the press did not give them time to do there due diligence; the due diligence was done as they should have and they came across some concerns about the two contingencies. The Town Administrator, himself and attorney Guinn met with Mr. Rempfer which was the first time Mr. Moss ever met Mr. Rempfer. This was discussed and it was brought back to Council. Mr. Moss stated that there are some things better discussed in executive session but he is comfortable with the history he just presented. Mr. Moss stated that he does not understand the allegations that there had been any other closed meetings because he was unaware of any.

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401 402

Mayor Deaton then asked Mr. Draughn to continue adding that no one on Council has a license to practice law or a license to broker real estate but Mr. Moss and Mr. Draughn do and he has a lot of confidence in them. Mr. Draughn stated that the spreadsheet listing A, B and C were collectively given a counter proposal. This was rejected by all three applicants and asked the attorney, Mr. Moss, if this could be discussed. Mr. Moss stated that items discussed in executive session should not be discussed. Mr. Samples asked if Council could waive their privilege under executive session. Mr. Moss stated that this is not a privilege like an attorney/client privilege, it is a law. Mr. Samples stated that he has an attorney who takes a different view. Mr. Samples stated "if Council wished to waive it under executive . . . what transpired. . ." Mr. Moss stated that he does not believe that Council can waive it because it is a law and not a privilege. It is a law of the Town of Surfside as it is codified in, he believes, article 2-52. Mayor Deaton stated "we really don't need to get into a legal debate. We're going to depend upon the person with the license to practice law in the State of South Carolina."

404 405 406

407

408 409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421 422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429 430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

403

Mr. Moss stated that the section states "that it shall be unlawful for a member of council or person in attendance to disclose to another person or make public the substance of a matter disclosed in executive session. 2-52 subsection D." Mr. Moss added that this is not a privilege that is waivable, it is a law. It would take an ordinance of the Town to overrule this law. Mr. Draughn stated regardless of what took place in executive session, counteroffers were presented to all three prospective tenants and they were rejected outright. One of the prospective applicants completely went away, one came back with a variation and then a third came back with another variation; this is the variation the Council acted on two weeks ago. The letter of intent was originally submitted in the earlier executive session. This document has subsequently been drafted into a lease document that was signed by the Sifonios' two weeks ago and this is the document being considered tonight. Mayor Deaton stated that there was quite a bit of activity and the property was shown numerous times. Mr. Draughn confirmed this and added that they continue to show the property; it is still active and available in MLS today. If a new proposal were to come forward today he would be obligated by South Carolina law to present it to the property owners immediately but there were none at this time. Mayor Deaton asked if anyone on Council had any questions for Mr. Draughn. Ms. Blair stated "I first feel the need to say that, in the spirit of transparency, and I can only speak for myself, but I knew nothing about this plan for this presentation. I feel totally blindsided by all of this and I just felt the need to get that out there. I mean, this whole thing was prefaced with here's transparency, well, not with Council, so I just wanted all of you to know that at least, from my standpoint, I knew nothing." Ms. Blair asked Mr. Draughn about his statement 'in a vague sense counter proposals were offered' and what he meant by that. Mr. Draughn stated that he meant that only to the extent that we cannot discuss them in open council are they vague. Mr. Draughn stated that he went back to all three applicants with the same proposal; ongoing negotiations wanted to be continued adding that this was back in February and so much time has passed since this time. Currently it is the middle of the spring season and time is of the essence in a market like Surfside where there is a smaller window for businesses; the summer is the busiest time of the year. Mr. Draughn stated

that he believes the meeting was held February 21st and the counter offers were 438 439 sent the next day. Mr. Samples stated that he believes that the meeting was actually held March 4th; this was the first special session that Town Council had. 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473

Mr. Draughn stated that he is referring to the date on the spreadsheet he distributed to Council. Mr. Samples stated that the letter of intent provided to Council expired when it was presented to Council. Mr. Draughn stated that the letter of intent is non-binding; it is a simple document that can be negotiated until there is a contract. Mr. Smith asked if Mr. Draughn could talk about his marketing plan and how many people he actually showed the property to. Mr. Draughn stated that he showed the property to approximately six or seven prospects. His firm also corresponded with e-mails and photographs to explain that the Town wanted a family style restaurant similar to what they had and that there was an implied obligation to serve breakfast, lunch and dinner and to maintain a family atmosphere. Mr. Draughn stated that they spoke to people that wanted to do oyster bars and shooter bars and margarita bars and they intentionally gave them documents that indicated very strongly that the Town wanted what they had previously. Mr. Draughn stated that the property has been on the internet, they have had television interviews on site and it is posted on popular websites and is very easy to find. They continue to deal with inquiries today. Mr. Smith asked if they received any inquiries outside of South Carolina. It was confirmed that they received inquiries from California, Nebraska, Florida, Texas; most have come from North and South Carolina and most have been from Horry and Georgetown County adding that he feels this is a local opportunity. People from other states are not normally viable prospects because most of them have never been to South Carolina; they are just inquiries. Mr. Samples asked in talking to the prospects how he described the condition of the restaurant. Mr. Draughn stated that they were told it is being leased in "as is" condition; they were urged to retain their own architect or engineer so that there were no representations made. The property was available for inspection seven days a week; they could pick up or sign out keys or have a broker join them. There were pictures of the space available as well. Mr. Draughn stated that most of his photos showed the positives of the space. Mr. Draughn stated that most people understood "as is" and knew the previous tenant had been there for 23 years and it was basically described as a 35 by 50 foot empty box. One reputable company told him that they would spend \$150,000 in the kitchen alone but this is what they would do in a standard kitchen. Mayor Deaton asked Mr. Draughn if he participated in any secret meetings with councilmembers. Mr. Draughn stated that this is his third or fourth meeting and none have been secret in nature except for executive sessions.

477 478

474

475

476

479

480

481

482

Ms. Dodge stated that the lease she voted for was for Mr. and Mrs. Sifonios; they were the only two signers and they were not here. Ms. Dodge stated that there is a step missing. There are other people here to speak but the Sifonios' are not present to speak. Mr. Moss stated that he does not know Mr. and Mrs. Sifonios. They had an attorney representing them and he has never met them or dealt with them directly.

483 484

Mr. Moss stated that their attorney is here and the intention always was that they may create a corporation, LLC and this has been known adding that he does not fully understand their business plan but whether Council wants to speak with them is a decision for the Council.

Ms. Dodge asked "but shouldn't they be here to speak if they were the signors of

the lease, if I understand correctly and correct me if I'm wrong, it seems as if

we're going to have people speak who were not involved with that lease."

Ms. Dodge stated that she just thought she missed a step and this was the reason for her question.

Mr. Samples asked if there was somebody here representing the Sifonios'. Mayor Deaton stated that Mr. Guinn was available. Mr. Samples stated that he would like to go on the record "as, of course, Ms. Blair did earlier, because this has clearly been orchestrated and there's no problem with that. There's no problem with that; that's the way things are done, but it's clearly been orchestrated by a number of people who, I don't believe a majority on Council, knew of this."

Mayor Deaton stated that it was certainly not a majority adding that this has been a request by individuals to be able to speak and give the other side. "beyond the accusations, innuendos and rumors."

Mr. Samples stated that it is a shame that it has taken so long, since February or March, there were special meetings March 4th and the last special meeting was held April 15th and there was one special meeting in between those meetings. Mr. Draughn referred to the meeting agenda of February 22nd when Council went into executive session.

Mayor Deaton asked Mr. Rempfer to submit the letter of intent to the clerk adding that only Mr. Rempfer can release the letter. The Town has been under a confidentiality agreement which is signed by the Town of Surfside Beach and this is one reason why they had to be careful not to violate the agreement. Mayor Deaton stated that Mr. Rempfer has now stepped forward and everything now is forthcoming. Mr. Johnson stated that he was unaware that he was going to see a "dog and pony show this evening". Mr. Johnson stated that he has some concerns adding that this is the first time that the Council has been given a hint of an apparent LLC being formed and members of an LLC coming forward and agrees that it was orchestrated. He stated that this was orchestrated to blind side people and added that this is not what transparency is all about. Mr. Johnson stated that he would allow people to speak and answer questions but "good luck in changing some minds up here."

Mayor Deaton stated that he does not know if this was the intent. Mr. Moss stated that great care was taken in the draft lease proposal to protect the Towns' interest in the event that someone did want to create a corporation or LLC specifically if the Sifonios wanted to do that; the Council has to consent to the assignment. The reason this was done in the draft documents was because often times when there are parties that go into a restaurant or business venture they don't completely have

their business plan developed. They don't need to spend a lot of time and effort in developing details of a business plan if they don't have an agreement made. In the lease the Town authorized the contingent lease agreement that had provisions in it that would require the assignment to be approved by the Town. Mr. Moss stated that he feels it is fair to say that he, Mr. Draughn, the administrator and several members of Council if not all, have known that an LLC or corporation may be created. The best that could be done was to require it to come back to the Town Council which they did. Mayor Deaton asked the clerk to read the letter of intent. Mr. Samples clarified that it is a non-binding letter of intent. The following is a copy of the letter of intent:

This Letter of Intent expresses the basis under which CENTURY 21 Strand Group, acting on behalf of client (the "Lessee"), seeks to enter into lease agreement (the "Lease") of approximately 1,800 Square Feet (the "Property") as outlined in Exhibit "A", attached to and made an equal part of this Letter of Intent.

It is further understood that while this Letter of Intent sets forth the basic business terms of the proposed Lease, it does not constitute a binding contract or an agreement to enter into a binding contract, nor are any legal obligations intended to be created by this Letter of Intent. This Letter of Intent is a preliminary summary of terms and is for discussion purposes only. Neither party shall be contractually bound until such time as a mutually satisfactory lease agreement (the "Agreement") has been executed.

The Lessee is comprised of individuals residing in the Town of Surfside, South Carolina, with credit scores of 750+ and a minimum of \$100,000 of operation capital with bank references. At such time upon a meeting of the minds, the individuals plan to form a corporation for the operation of a restaurant at the Space. Although the individuals do not wish their identities to be made public at this moment, they will at the Owner's request, pending the execution of an agreement of confidentiality.

Following are the basic terms and conditions upon which the Lessee would contemplate entering into said Lease.

The Property:

The Property, formerly known as Nibils Restaurant is comprised of approximately One Thousand Eight Hundred Square Feet with Tax Map #195-04-09-001, and located at 11 South Ocean Boulevard in Surfside Beach, South Carolina as outlined in Exhibit A.

Initial Term:

The initial term of this Lease shall be for a period of five (5) years commencing April 1, 2011, and terminating March 31, 2016. Lessor shall allow Lessee to enter said property March 1, 2011 to commence space up-fit. Lessee will present proof of insurance to Lessor with policy effective date of March 1, 2011.

Optional Terms:

Lessee shall have the option to renew lease for up to two (2) additional five (5) year terms. The first such renewal term, if exercised, shall commence on April 1,

2016 and terminate on March 31, 2021. The second such renewal term, if exercised, shall commence on April 1, 2021 and terminate on March 31, 2026.

Rent:

Lessee shall pay to Landlord rent during each term according to the following schedule:

Initial Term:

Term Year	Annual Rent	Plus % of Gross Sales
2011	\$50,000.00	0.00%
2012	\$50,000.00	0.00%
2013	\$55,000.00	0.50%
2014	\$60,000.00	0.50%
2015	\$60,000.00	0.50%

First Renewal Term:

Term Year	Annual Rent	Plus % of Gross Sales
2016	\$70,000.00	1.00%
2017	\$70,000.00	1.00%
2018	\$70,000.00	1.00%
2019	\$70,000.00	1.00%
2020	\$70,000.00	1.00%

Second Renewal Term:

<u>Ter</u>	m Year	Annual Rent	Plus % of Gross Sales
	2021	\$76,000.00	1.00%
	2022	\$76,000.00	1.00%
	2023	\$76,000.00	1.00%
	2024	\$76,000.00	1.00%
	2025	\$76,000.00	1.00%

Purpose:

The Lessee represents said purpose of leasing property is to operate restaurant on year round basis servicing breakfast, lunch, & dinner. Lessor also plans on obtaining permits to serve alcohol beverages.

Capital Improvements

The Lessor plans on improving the building for the term of the lease which is and will be also a benefit to the Lessee. These improvements would include; New heating and Air (14,000), new electrical (20,000), new plumbing (11,000), new hood system(19,000), repairs to roof (6,000), new flooring (5,000), Paint and wall repairs w/ new sheetrock (14,000), redo on all kitchen walls, (9,000), install of gas lines (4,000), Install alarm system (4,000) and misc labor (29,000). These are all improvements that would stay with building. This would be over 130,000 into the building by the lessor, even before opening and does not include equipment, demo, permitting, and environmental needed to open.

In addition to capital improvements, the lessor also plans on investing upwards of one hundred-fifty thousand(150,000) in equipment, to include but not limited to, walk-in cooler and freezer, all kitchen stainless steel, ovens, grills, sinks,

dishwasher, kitchen prep tables, décor for dining area, all new plates, silverware, glassware, tables, chairs, and any other improvements needed to launch a grand re-opening of what lessor feels is one of the target spots in Surfside that needs to be a focal point in the Town. With the help from the Town, lessor feels they can regain the draw that this Pier needs to help generate revenue to the Town of Surfside and make this site a destination for tourists and locals alike that come and visit and or make the Grand Strand their home.

Agreement Fees:

Lessee and Lessor shall pay their own legal fees, overhead, and expenses related to entering into formal Agreement.

Expiration of Intent:

This Letter of Intent will expire at 9:00 a.m. Friday, February 25, 2011.

This Letter of Intent is only an expression of our mutual intent concerning some aspects of the proposed transaction described above, it being understood that all of the material terms of the proposed transaction are not yet agreed upon between the parties and must still be agreed upon to their mutual satisfaction. It is understood that except as provided in the immediately preceding paragraph, (i) no liabilities or other obligations of any kind whatsoever are intended to be created hereby between the parties, (ii) this Letter of Intent is not intended to constitute a legally binding contract to consummate the transaction referred to above nor an agreement to enter into a legally binding contract, (iii) the parties propose to proceed in negotiating, to their mutual satisfaction, all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of the Lease Agreement.

Broker Involvement

The Lessee and Lessor each represent and warrant that they are being represented by real estate brokers in connection with the transaction. The brokerage fee due for services rendered in connection with the consummation of the transaction contemplated herein is understood to be Six Percent (6.00%) of Initial Five Year Gross Lease Amount and shall be paid by Landlord. Each party hereby agrees to indemnify, defend and hold the other harmless from and against any claims arising from a breach of the foregoing representation and warranty.

Please indicate your acceptance of these terms by executing a copy of this Letter of Intent and returning it to my direct attention.

Mayor Deaton asked Mr. Guinn and Mr. Moss if they negotiated the lease that was accepted by this Council based on this letter of intent. Mr. Moss stated that they coordinated their efforts to draft a lease that Mr. Guinn's clients felt comfortable with and he on behalf of the Town was comfortable with. Mayor Deaton asked Mr. Moss if he was influenced by any councilmembers or if he participated in any secret meetings. Mr. Moss answered that he did not have any secret communication with councilmembers.

Mr. Johnson made a motion to go back under the business portion of the agenda. Mayor Deaton stated that he feels Council needs to hear from Mr. Rempfer first since everyone has wanted to hear from him. Ms. Blair seconded the motion. Mr. Smith stated that he feels Council should hear the complete story otherwise the public will leave saying they never heard from Mr. Rempfer and he would like to

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697 698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708709

710

711 712

713

714 715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734 735

736

737

give him a chance. Ms. Blair stated that Council is being presented with people who have never been formally presented to Council as being a part of this action and she does not understand why Council is talking to them at this point. Ms. Blair asked clerk Pinnell to read the signatures on the letter of intent. Clerk Pinnell stated that there are no signatures and it was confirmed that no names were noted in the content of the document she held. Mr. Draughn stated that a copy of the letter is signed by the broker, Mr. Cole. Mr. Cole stated that he is representing the group which the letter states where there would be a group formed upon acceptance of the lease. It was confirmed that Mr. Cole is a licensed broker in the State of SC. Ms. Blair stated there is a motion on the floor. Mr. Samples asked who made up the group or was this confidential. Mayor Deaton stated that the two partners were willing to speak if Ms. Blair would retract her motion. Mr. Samples stated that the Mayor could have lead Council through this from the beginning and a lot of time could have been saved adding that it is "apparent that you have information that the rest of us don't". Mayor Deaton stated that he has no information; he just has all the players adding that he has not spoken to any of these individuals. Ms. Blair stated that this was her point; these players have never formally been presented in any form or fashion to the Council. Mayor Deaton stated that they are being presented in a public forum now.

Some people in the audience were speaking out. Ms. Blair asked for order adding that the Mayor would call order on anyone else. Mayor Deaton asked for the people in the audience to be quiet and maintain order in the room. Ms. Blair, Mr. Johnson, Ms. Dodge and Mr. Samples voted for. Mr. Smith, Mayor Deaton and Mr. Childs voted against. MOTION CARRIED.

Ms. Blair stated that she had a motion and to introduce the motion she wanted to say that she heard some things this evening that she feels are very relevant to the direction Council takes at this point; one being from Mr. Smith who said that this pier is certainly a prudent value to this Town. Ms. Blair had also made some statements at the last meeting that it should be a core and focal point of Surfside Beach and it should be utilized to its utmost value for the residents first and foremost and then certainly if it pleases the residents it will certainly be a draw for the visitors and tourists as well. Ms. Blair stated that she feels it is time to stop banging heads against the wall and "bruising our own spirit and move forward with making the adjustments that we need to make to have this pier what it needs to be. We've invested a lot of money here and it needs to be of the utmost value to us all." Ms. Blair added that she feels this has been an arduous and contentious process many time but sometimes motions are made with the best of intentions and maybe an important issue isn't always before us or available at the time of a vote. Ms. Blair stated that at the time of the executive session when they originally voted there had been some very important information that was not provided to Council and had that been available to Council at that time the signed lease was presented, the vote may have been quite different at that time. Ms. Blair made a motion that this body, the Town Council of Surfside Beach, rescind the vote of April 15th, 2011 regarding the proposed lease with Mr. and Mrs. John Sifonios. Mr. Moss stated that he is troubled by this particular motion although there is another motion that could serve the same purpose. "When this Council made a decision on the 15th that decision could have been reconsidered upon a

743 744

745 746 747

748

749

755

765

766

767

768769

770

771 772 773

774775776

777 778

779 780 781

782 783 784

785 786 motion from the prevailing side and a recues of votes so long as it happened before the minutes were approved. Now the minutes were approved on the meeting of April 26th and so that action was taken so I want to be clear and I want to make sure the Council is clear that a decision now to reconsider the vote is not really something that is appropriately done."

Mr. Samples: "She didn't say reconsider."

Mr. Moss: "I understand that, but the reason I point this out was I want to make sure that all the councilmembers are clear on that. This would not be a motion to reconsider that action. That can't be done at this point. A motion to rescind the contingent lease agreement is something that this Council can entertain, however, and frankly to protect this Town and frankly to protect my firm and myself, if that motion is seconded and if that motion is going to be considered I encourage the Town to discuss it in executive session before any action is made to rescind that lease."

Mr. Moss went on the state that the motion to rescind the lease agreement needs a majority of Council to pass but strongly urged Council to convene in executive session before any action is acted upon. Mr. Johnson asked Mr. Moss to give detail as to why the Council should meet in executive session to consider this. Mr. Moss stated "because you have authorized the entry of a contingent contract and whether or not those contingencies have been satisfied may be a contested issue. In other words the Town could be sued." Mr. Moss stated that he does not know if that will happen and does not know if there is a substantial likelihood or possibility but he feels that Council needs to hear his concerns in executive session prior to any action being taken on a motion such as the one made by Ms. Blair. Mr. Johnson asked if Mr. Moss was aware of any signed contract. Mr. Moss stated that he is only aware of the authority and direction that this Council gave to the Administrator upon a contingent basis; he is not aware of anything that has been signed. Mr. Samples asked Mr. Duckett if he is aware of any signed contract by anyone that had or appeared to have authority to sign a contract on behalf of the Town of Surfside Beach. Mr. Duckett answered that he is not aware of any contract being signed on behalf of Surfside Beach. Mayor Deaton stated that he came up with a list of pros and cons for the taxpayers of Surfside Beach regarding the pier.

Pros

- The Town will have a tenant on the pier for the upcoming season.
- The Town will derive income from this.
- New jobs will be created.
- The parking lot will generate increased revenue.
- The tenant will make an initial investment of \$135,000 in landlord-owned improvements.
- The Town will have an enhanced asset at no expense.
- The building will be made ADA compliant (with regard to the new standards that take effect in 2012) at no expense to the Town.
- The pier will enjoy greater pedestrian traffic which will serve to increase the patronage of our other pier businesses.

-0-
787
788
789
790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798
/90
791
111
792
702
193
794
/ / T
795
706
790
797
171
798
799
900
800
801
802
002
803
804
805
806
007
807
909
808
809
810
811
013
812
812 813 814
013
814
01.
815
016
816
816
816 817
816 817 818
816 817 818
816 817 818 819
816 817 818 819
816 817 818 819 820
816 817 818 819 820
816 817 818 819 820 821
816 817 818 819 820 821 822
816 817 818 819 820 821 822
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832
816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833

- The Town will not be in breach of its lease (Page 12 "Landlord's consent will not be unreasonably withheld").
- The Town will not be sued for the breach of the lease.
- The pier will remain a focal point for the area of our guests.
- The Pier Enterprise Fund will remain healthy enough to effect repairs on the pilings that need to be done.
- The Pier Enterprise fund will remain healthy enough to make the annual payments back to the General Fund which is about one point something million dollars of tax money.
- Residents will recognize this is a win-win for the Town

Cons

• Bill Rempfer will be the tenant's guarantor and a few residents and some council members don't like him personally.

Ms. Blair asked for point of order. Ms. Blair stated that she believes that the Mayor call people down on that same thing week after week adding that she does not appreciate his last comment.

There was applause in the audience.

Mayor Deaton stated the Cons:

Cons

- The Town will NOT have a tenant on the pier for the upcoming season.
- The Town will NOT derive income from the pier restaurant space.
- New jobs will NOT be created.
- The parking lot will generate a DECREASED amount of revenue.
- The tenant will NOT make an initial investment of \$135,000
- The Town will NOT be afforded an enhanced asset at no expense.
- The building will NOT be made ADA compliant (with regard to the new standards that take effect in 2012).
- The pier will enjoy a DECREASE in the amount of pedestrian traffic which will serve to decrease the patronage of our pier businesses.
- The pier will NOT remain a focal point for our guests.
- The Pier Enterprise Fund will NOT remain healthy enough to effect repairs on the pilings.
- The Pier Enterprise Fund will NOT remain healthy enough to make annual payments back to the General Fund.
- If the Town does any renovations it will come out of the taxpayers pockets

Mr. Samples stated that Council has struggled with this issue for far too long and he wishes that the leadership on both sides of this deal "had stepped up to the plate months ago but instead we have agonized over it and the fact remains, the fact remains, that there was another bid whether it was a stupid overpriced bid a year ago, that's a fact, for the same property, it's a fact that the previous tenant, after 10 years in a lease with a tough business man, Mr. Sam Scalise, who doesn't give nothing away, there was no giving into Nibils or Jack Cahill, that was a tough lease. He was paying \$72,500 plus all the cost of the utilities. If you

 owned a piece of property that was run down, you would not be satisfied in taking the offer that we've received; taking an offer similar. You don't give away things for 15 years, 15 years, 2026, no, this Town needs to find the money to make the investments, even if we have to take it out of our fund balances, Mr. Mayor, so that we can market this property, which is a jewel, but we need to exercise good stewardship and, unfortunately, we're going to have to suffer through, in my opinion, this summer and I will say, I don't know if it's true or not but I watched the news and I appreciate Mr. Rempfer giving an interview last week to one of the local TV stations." Mr. Samples stated that he heard that it would take three months to get that space up and open and it's currently the middle of May and the way the Town works, even if the Town agreed tonight to have a lease it would not have a lease for awhile "because we just don't move that fast and it's going to take some time beyond that, so we're at the end of July and if you're at the end of July in our seasonal economy you've missed it." Mr. Samples added that it has to be marketed and supports the motion that was made.

Mr. Smith stated that "after calling for transparency this gang of four looks like they orchestrated how they would shut down transparency."

There were comments in the audience. Mayor Deaton asked the audience to quiet down adding that everyone has a right to their opinion.

Mr. Smith stated that he is not sure where some individuals got the numbers from but the pending lease over 10 years including the \$135,000 worth of repairs is equal to \$825,000. If Mr. Cahill was paying \$72,500 a year over 10 years that's only \$725,000 which is less than \$825,000 adding that Mr. Cahill had not agreed to do any repairs and did not even try to bid for it when he could have. Mr. Smith stated that he feels the Council would be prudent to accept the lease presented.

Mr. Childs stated that this restaurant space is not the only thing at stake adding that he truly believes that if the Town does not put a restaurant on the pier that Pier Outfitters will suffer due to the lack of customers who would frequent the restaurant. The walk-ons at the pier and the use of the parking meters would be minimized. The Town cannot afford to wait any length of time to fill the space and with the current number of foreclosures and gas prices going up daily the Town cannot let the pier become a white elephant and receive no income for the Town. After the bidding process failed the Town is lucky to find one business that is interested and asked the Council please look at the big picture for the Town and to support the lease for the restaurant at the pier.

Ms. Dodge stated that she has an e-mail from Mr. and Mrs. Mays who operate Sea Dogs Ice Cream shop at the pier. It is a much smaller area than the restaurant and they pay \$32,000 a year and she feels that this would put things in perspective of what the Town can get with the lease or a restaurant adding that she does not think it is a bargain at all.

Mr. Johnson stated that he did not have anything written down so he did not have an agenda coming in. Mr. Johnson stated that this is not about personalities; it is not about one person trying to get a lease for the pier; it's not about another person trying to get a lease on the pier. What the Council did, in his opinion, was they rushed to judgment in getting something done and what he would like to do is back out, take a look at it, there is legislation now that could potentially help the Town. It is on the Governor's desk and may or may not be signed but if it is it would give the Town leeway to do improvements at the pier. Improvements can still be done at the pier regardless of legislation being signed. The pier is the jewel of the Town and Council needs to do what is right for the citizens of the Town. It needs to be made better for the long term. Mr. Johnson stated that he feels that 15 years for lease is "crazy". He was in business and added that he would have loved to have had a 15 year lease. This is what turned him off of the situation although he did originally vote for it and apologized to the Town residents because he voted for it. Mr. Johnson stated that he feels the Council needs to back up and do what is right.

Ms. Blair stated that Mr. Johnson is correct Bill 3586 was proposed by the areas own representative, Mr. Hardwick and Senator Cleary and the final vote in the Senate had been unanimous. Ms. Blair stated that Representative Hardwick had indicated that he saw no reason why the Governor would not sign it. This legislation would allow the Town to be able to add amenity structures to the pier without permits from OCRM a division of DHEC as long as the Planning and Zoning officials are there to authorize construction. This gives the Town control to have a vision for the pier and to make it into whatever it wants it to be within certain guidelines. This is about the Town taking control. The Council was in the position where they felt like they should move forward without appropriate and complete information presented at the time. This is the Councils time to rethink that decision and to know that the Town can regain and make it what the citizens want it to be and not what a private individual wants it to be.

Ms. Dodge seconded the motion. Mr. Moss stated that once again he would like to renew his concern and recommended the Council reconvene in executive session before the motion is voted on. Mr. Smith made a motion to go into executive session to hear Council. Mayor Deaton stated that there is already a motion on the floor and it needs to be rescinded or voted on. Ms. Blair, Mr. Johnson, Ms. Dodge and Mr. Samples voted for the original motion. Mr. Smith Mayor Deaton and Mr. Childs voted against. MOTION CARRIED. Mayor Deaton stated to please note that the attorney advised Council not to take that vote in public session.

Mr. Samples stated that he does not feel Council has resolved the issue. Mr. Samples made a motion to convene the pier committee of the whole at the May 24th, before the May 24th Town Council meeting at 5:30 PM to discuss opportunities and future uses of the pier including setting dates for public workshops. Ms. Dodge seconded the motion. Ms. Blair, Mr. Johnson, Ms. Dodge and Mr. Samples voted for. Mr. Smith, Mayor Deaton and Mr. Childs voted against. MOTION CARRIED. Mr. Samples made a motion to direct the administrator to prepare exhibits for the committee of the whole

meeting including any preliminary design work that has already been done or that is in progress. Present an updated pier financial operating plan for the fiscal year 2010 through 2012 time frame including known funded and unfunded maintenance needs for the pier and its related structures and develop a schedule of the committee of the whole hearings and workshops to encourage public input on the future use and amenities at the pier. Ms. Dodge seconded the motion. Ms. Blair, Mr. Johnson, Ms. Dodge, Mayor Deaton, Mr. Samples and Mr. Childs voted for. Mr. Smith voted against. MOTION CARRIED. Mr. Samples made a motion the Town Council direct the Administrator to request Mr. Draughn and Coldwell Banker withdraw the listing agreement. Ms. Dodge seconded the motion. It was confirmed that Mr. Samples intent was to pull the listing off the market. Mr. Johnson stated that he does not see why it could be kept on the market and questioned the expense involved. Ms. Dodge and Mr. Samples voted for. Ms. Blair, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Smith, Mayor Deaton and Mr. Childs voted against. MOTION FAILED.

8. <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS – Agenda Items only</u> – 5 minutes per speaker

Jackie Rempfer, 426 S, Poplar, daughter-in-law of Bill Rempfer and daughter of Sonia and John Sifonios: "As far as I am concerned, Ann Dodge, my parents came here for week and stayed with me in Surfside and you said that there's a step missing? My dad and my stepmom were here. I'm a little shaked up because this is ridiculous and everyone was contacted on this Council to meet with them, to talk with them and only two people would talk and that was a public thing. You guys all act like you didn't know about that, okay? The reason why Bill Rempfer's involved is because he's my father-in-law trying to help my father and I think that this is . . . you guys are going to have a pier sit there now; there's nothing more unattractive than an empty All our little skateboarders are going to vandalize it. In order to make renovations you have to raise taxes, okay, in this economy and I guarantee you guys have a . . . that pier's going to sit there now and look like, horrible, and this is just ridiculous. If you took this contract to any other state and there was no name, you know, two lawyers just looked at, good business is good business and you guys don't know good business. This is ridiculous. I cannot believe how, it's like a circus. You scare away everybody including Nibils, now my father. It's ridiculous. It's like . . . I don't understand. I've never seen this in my life. This would never fly anywhere else. It's like I'm embarrassed to say I live here, honestly. That's all."

Diana Taylor, 7th Ave N.: "I have followed this whole process very carefully and this could have all been very simple. I'm embarrassed for the Sifonios; that their name was dragged through the paper and it could have been solved so easily. An executive session at the Sifonios and Bill Rempfer would have met each and every one of you then you could have . . . and presented their overview plans this could be done with and, that hasn't happened, has it? And anything that I know, that's exactly how it's approached. Thank you for listening."

Gene Maruca, 7th Ave S.: "I've been in Town for 28 years. I've known most of you all that time or since you've been here. I've heard Bill Rempfers name many times, never met him personally, but I have to admire what he's done for this community. If you look around at the investments he made, they're attractive in comparison to what's around him and what he upgraded or remodeled. Now, in my mind, there

983

984

985

986

987

988 989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013 1014

1015

1016

1017

1018 1019

1020

1021

1022

1023 1024

1025

1026 1027

1028

1029

1030

were errors made, poor decision made, on both sides of the argument. Let's put that aside; let's look at what the business man did and what he's accomplished and what could be accomplished on that property. I suggest that he and the Council at least get together and see, even at this late date, if something could be worked out for the betterment of the entire Town. Thank you."

Joyce O'Brien, 7th and Myrtle S.: "I'm just confused by the whole thing and I really have no qualms about what happens to the pier but as a person in this community, in my mind, I have to think back, how we got here and how we got here was we had a terrific restaurant on the pier that did terrific business. The town bought the pier. I didn't vote, no one asked me if I wanted to buy it. I thought it belonged to the Town. I don't know who bought the pier. I didn't, I don't know if any of these people did? No one was asked. We bought a pier that was in disarray; no one checked it first to see if it was a good purchase which, as far as I know, it turned out not to be. We have debt now because we have to repair the whole thing and I'm sorry but I didn't know the Cahills that well but I loved their restaurant. They were always good people; they were always friendly. I had to stand in line to get a table and I know why they're gone and I think you all forgot that it was the deceit that brought us to this. It was the lying and the deceit. I remember hearing that his sign was taken down and he was still in business and you're wondering why people are questioning what's going on, this is why, this is why. How do you take a business sign down on a man who's bringing in revenue to the Town for all those years and he's still in business and you take his sign down? And you wonder why people are questioning things? I mean I had a personal incident where someone, from the Town, was opening contract bids behind closed doors and you wonder why we're distrustful, I mean, come on. I'm not, you know, I'm not a professor but do you need a degree to figure this stuff out> We've been lied to; we've been . . . it's been deceit, it's been lies; it's been who's doing this, who's doing that. I mean, my God that's, you know, look at yourself in the mirror in the morning. I love this Town. Most of these people love this Town and one of the things I love the most about this Town was the pier. We want a decent place to replace Nibils; that's all. We want decent people running it. We want honest people. We want what we want, it's our Town and we want what we lost. We want a Nibils to replace Nibils. We want people standing in lines to wait for a table; that's what we want and, you know, I appreciate the members of council that want to step back and look at this because there's a lot to look at. Lift the carpet and look under the rug; there's a lot to look at there. Thank you."

John Ard, 612 Cypress Drive: "I've been sitting right here tonight and I wasn't going to get up here and speak tonight. Somebody asked me not to but I'm going to have to go against their wishes and do it anyway. All the stuff's been going on here tonight with you all. I've been sitting up here and there's three people on that Council up there, three people, every time something's done, every time something to ask it's the same thing. Just sitting in my chair right there tonight, what about here over here in this section I hear a lot of comments going on. If these people's got something to say, if they's kin of Rempfer (***) or whoever they kin to. Come to the podium and say it (***). Don't sit back there behind people's backs saying it. I'm going to tell you what, if there's a man amongst you up there tonight and there's three of you up there and you know who I'm talking to. Why don't you be a man or you be a mouse. Get the hell up and resign you position right now immediately."

There were jeers in the audience. The Mayor asked them to hold on.

Mr. Ard: "Do you want me to leave?"

Mayor Deaton: "No sir."

Carrie Johnson, 9th Avenue S: "I would just like to applaud the Council that decided to take a step back and not rush into something and just to let you know I was down by Sam's Corner last Saturday night and it was exploded with kids and we're not even in the season and they're in there playing video games and people were all over. Kids spend money when adults don't have it. I would suggest that you maybe put some video games in that space for the summer time. You get kids in there, while they're in there playing the games, parents are going to pay and go take a walk on the pier. They're all going to want a hotdog and some ice cream when they're done. That would be a way to generate some revenue, when the season's over take it out and do the repairs that you need then you can get a good decent tenant in there. Thank you."

Walter Bowers, 624 Surfside Dr.: "I think, you know, this, a lot of this is about here in Surfside is we're a community; we're all people here, all together here. I think we're losing sight of why we're here. I think, you know, we're not Myrtle Beach, and we're not down the street too much. I mean we're here as a small town and you know where you seem to be concerned, you know, investment you're going to have (***) Town. Well if you look at like Bill Rempfer where you look at it down here and you drive down the street and you see what this man has built and it's a beautiful thing, I mean, you don't go invest the money this man has invested in this community just not to, for nothing, and you guys are worried about Bill Rempfer and that stuff and his involvement in all this. I think, I think if you look at the community he is building around all of us is a small community that I think it would be a very, very good investment, I mean, it's dollars well spent if you look up here, you know, you ask this man and others involved to spend \$135,000 in a building that they'll walk away from in years. That's a lot for a man to put on himself. I think, I think to all you guys, I mean, let's get this community together as a community and just look around at what this man here has done in this community, it's amazing, amazing and that's all. Thank you."

Glenn Curtis, 257 Palladium Dr.: "Since all this stuff has been going on we've been looking like jerks in the paper and everything else and thank you for stepping back and taking a look. Instead of going through all this, keep on going through it constantly, looking the way we are, how about we just put the whole damn thing up for sale. Get the city council away from it, get something done, and Mayor, Mr. Mayor, sit back, please, thank you."

Debra Herrmann, 11 N. Cedar Dr: "First I was happy to hear that in that original intent there was a contingency to allow assignment of the lease that must be approved by Council. Had I known that I would have not been so anxious about the whole situation because in my mind it was a done deal without any other action. The other thing is, is the statement was made earlier by someone that it's typical in business in

other states to withhold disclosure of people who are interested in making a deal, well honey, we're in the South, that's just not the way it's done here. We just don't do that. The other thing is the option to renew the lease for five years at the lessees option. I would hope the Council is intelligent enough to never give that full option to a lessee, at minimum it should be a lease that's extended upon approval by both parties. We can't just give our Town away nilly willy. Who do, we don't know what's going to happen in the next 10 years; we don't know what's going to happen in 5 years. If Hugo comes back, it probably won't have the same name but it would have the same effect. We need to be prepared to look at other options and I think that by your action tonight to step back and look at things again you're going to have a clearer vision of what the Town needs, personally, I think we should take the Town pier, the Town pier, we own it, we citizens own it, and develop it for use as a venue for events and everybody's like 'we need a restaurant, we need . . " well (***) let's see, there's Nibils, what's gone, so we have River City, we have Myers ice cream, we have Scotty's bar, we have Bubbas Café, the Holiday Inn has a restaurant so we've got lots of restaurants right there within a one block district. We probably don't need another restaurant, yes it would generate money, but personally as a long time resident in this Town and I venture to say I've lived here at least as long as anyone else in the room, I would rather see my tax dollars go up a little bit so we can use that for the public. Thank you."

9. COUNCIL COMMENTS

Ms. Blair: "Thank you all for coming. Have a great week. I think I've said enough. Have a great night."

Mr. Johnson: "Thank you ladies and gentlemen for coming out. We're trying to do the peoples' business and have a safe trip home. Thank you."

Ms. Dodge: "First off I want to say I don't have any personal animosity or any bad feelings towards the Sifonios' who applied to lease the pier nor to Mr. Rempfer, I do, however, have a problem with what appeared to me and, again, I'm going back to newspaper articles for most of my information, but it appeared to me there was manipulation behind the scenes regarding this lease, if that is factual, that's wrong and I really would not want to have a business dependent upon that. I think taking a step back no matter what and taking a clean look at things is the best way to go. I want to thank Mrs. Johnson for her practical ideas for using the pier; they can be done really inexpensively and we can let the kids have a great time down there. Ms. Herrmann, you're amazing, thank you. You had some good comments too. There are more people in this community who could share their ideas on that pier and we could make that place the most successful place in the whole area and we don't have to go through all this turmoil. My head is just going to explode from this evenings' happenings. Thank you."

Mr. Smith: "I think the Council made a bad decision tonight according to the numbers that was presented in the public comments on agenda items, about a year ago we turned down a lease valued at about a million dollars over ten years and tonight we've turned down one valued at about \$825,000 over ten years and so now we got one that's valued at zero. Maybe this Council was looking for the lowest bid and that's what we got, zero."

 Mr. Samples: "I don't know if we made the wrong decision or the right decision, I guess time will tell. What I want to say is that it really is about the business of the Town, it ain't about personalities, somebody's got to sweeten the pot, you know, it is, it is, a public asset, it is the Town . . . peoples asset. The fact of the matter is, right now, we're comparing where we've been, what we've had with unknown. I think we all recognize that that property is in a state of disrepair and it's something that I think that we can . . . we need to involve the citizens; we need to hear more from the owners. Town Council don't own that property; we're temporary stewards. One thing I can tell you, in my opinion, a lease that runs to 2026 that we're receiving \$76,000 plus half a percent of the gross, in my opinion, is a bad deal for the Town and I've been consistent in that view. I wish we had more competition at the outset. I wish we had more competition at the end and for the life of me I don't understand why we didn't. I do think that, clearly, we, in the leadership positions, bear some responsibility for creating an atmosphere over the last 14, 16 months that discouraged competition but I will say, according to what I read that purported to be from the State Ethics report, it's no wonder if you have a former Chief Administrative Officer who turns out to be part of a group seeking the bid on the pier it's no wonder that somebody, you know, business people might be suspect about putting in a bid for it, it's no wonder and we have to work real hard from this point on to make sure that the discussion, the steps that we take are open, transparent, calm, level headed and I'll say this, Bill, I wish you'd come up front earlier, okay? I mean that's just one man to another man as opposed to all the confidentiality. This is a small town and I think you've done great things along that Highway 17; you should be chairman of the Beautification Committee that this Town is going to set up, one of these days. But anyway, I appreciate the interest of the citizens and you know we're going to disagree on things, but you know that's the way things work. Hopefully we will remember that what we're trying to do is serve the community. We've got the support, the economics, because that keeps the community healthy. We also got to take care of our environment because that's what brings the tourist to Town. Take care."

Mr. Childs: "I agree with Mr. Maruca, Mr. Rempfer has done a lot for the Town and I don't . . . I didn't hear one time mentioned and I don't know the exact figures but I don't think anybody here matches the money he contributes to our Rec Department; the money he gives and to turn one of the biggest taxpayers that we have in this Town I don't think anybody can match him what they pay in taxes. I just think it's a real shame and it shouldn't have happened."

Mayor Deaton: "Ladies and gentlemen I'd like to thank you for being civil tonight. This is a very difficult process. It's not a competition up here. You've seen a good exercise in government is what you've seen. The majority rules on the Council; the majority moves forward; that's my job to, per say, be the referee at times but what you've seen tonight is a good exercise in government; people agree to disagree and you move forward with the decisions made up here by the majority; that's . . . the authority is inherited by the majority and that's all. No one up here has individual authority; it's only by a majority action that this Council moves forward and that's what it's done tonight so everyone have a good evening please drive safely; watch out for the storm. Thank you.

voted in favor. MOTION CAR	djourn. Mr. Smith seconded the motion. All RRIED. The meeting was adjourned at 8:21
Approved: May 24, 2011	
	Sharon Pinnell, Town Clerk
K. Allen Deaton, Mayor	Roderick E. Smith, Town Council
Vicki W. Blair, Town Council	Ann Dodge, Town Council
Douglas F. Samples, Town Council	Mark L Johnson, Town Council
Robert F. Childs, III, Mayor Pro Tempore	

list is on file in the clerk's office. The agenda was posted on bulletin boards outside Council chambers and in the Town hall reception

area. Meeting notice was also posted on the Town marquee. When (***) is used a section of the transcription is inaudible.