1. CALL TO ORDER.

Mayor Samples called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmembers Dodge, Johnson, Kohlmann, Smith, and Stevens were in attendance. A quorum was present. Others present: Town Administrator Fellner; Planning Director Morris; Police Chief Keziah; Fire Chief Cimini; Fire Captain Williams, and Town Clerk Herrmann.

Mayor Samples announced that there were three council seats with terms expiring this year. Consequently, a town election will be held on April 8th. Candidate filing is open this week; candidates must be in the clerk's office by Friday at 4:00 p.m. to file.

2. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

Pastor Tom Wallace of Journey Church gave the invocation. Mayor Samples lead the Pledge.

3. AGENDA APPROVAL.

Mr. Smith moved to approve the agenda. Mr. Stevens seconded.

Ms. Mabry said that council would not be able to hear business item 7.A.i., because council received an amended ordinance instead of the ordinance that was deferred at the November 12th meeting. According to *Roberts Rules*, when action is taken the ordinance became the property of the council and cannot be changed by an individual member.

Mr. Smith disagreed. Council would be doing exactly what was done in the special meeting in July. He wanted the item to stay on the agenda.

Mayor Samples said this was different than the action taken in July, because first reading had been adopted on that ordinance when the amendment was adopted. The amendment was introduced publicly and adopted unanimously by the members present. As he understood the situation, there was some confusion between members and staff in putting what he presumed was a draft ordinance; there was no issue paper attached with it; there was just a single sheet of paper and the business item on the agenda. Ordinarily, the way first readings of ordinances were handled was when they are introduced to Town Council, they include an issue paper or some form of description in terms of background. Mayor Samples was inclined to agree with Ms. Mabry. As a point of order, Mayor Samples accepted that there was confusion; he believed that the document published in the package and on the internet was not what council would discuss.

Mr. Smith said Ordinance #13-0766 would be discussed, which was deferred, and the ordinance could be amended in its entirety to represent what was distributed in the council packages. He wanted to be open and transparent about this, so that everybody would know what would be voted on since it was going to be a complete elimination of what was in the original ordinance and replaced with what was presented in the package.

Mayor Samples asked if he understood correctly that Mr. Smith said the ordinance that was publicly posted and distributed was not what would be considered tonight.

Mr. Smith said that was incorrect; the information as presented in the council package would be considered at this meeting. The ordinance was being brought back with an amendment to use the language presented in the agenda package.

Ms. Mabry held up papers saying that was the ordinance deferred and that must come back before council. After it comes back before the council, everyone on the dais has an opportunity at that time to offer any amendments to the ordinance. There are seven members on council; not one councilman writes an amendment and says this would be what was voted on. That was not *Roberts Rules. Roberts Rules* state the ordinance upon which action was taken is brought back, and then Mr. Smith would have to make an amendment in public on the dais, and the council would approve or deny it. The ordinance as presented in November has to come before council first. Then in public, Mr. Smith would need to offer his amendment.

Mayor Samples said the chair rules in favor of Ms. Mabry, because she was is exactly right. Mr. Smith's intent was to bring back a deferred ordinance, but essentially, the body was brand new.

Mr. Smith said he would just present the deferred ordinance as presented on the agenda, which states 13-0766.

Mayor Samples asked if as published.

Mr. Smith said in the agenda.

Ms. Mabry said 24-hours notice was required under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) for the public. A document was published, and if that were done, FOIA would be violated.

Mr. Johnson asked if a legal opinion could be obtained.

Mayor Samples said the town attorney was not present.

Mr. Smith moved to leave Business Item 7.A.i. on the agenda. Mr. Stevens seconded. Councilmembers Dodge, and Kohlmann, Stevens and Smith voted in favor. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmember Johnson voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.** Mayor Samples said the motion carried and the item would remain on the agenda.

Mayor Samples, and Councilmembers Dodge, Johnson, Kohlmann, Stevens and Smith voted in favor of approving the agenda. Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

4. MINUTES APPROVAL.

Mr. Smith moved to approve the minutes of the December 10, 2013 public hearing as submitted. Mr. Stevens seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Mr. Stevens moved to approve the minutes of the December 10, 2013 regular meeting as submitted. Ms. Dodge seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS – Agenda Items (3 minutes.)

"Good evening; happy 2014. Ron Ott, 7th Avenue North. This is the public comments on agenda items only. My problem with this is how about the ones that aren't on the agenda, because we've been doing that now. Last meeting two times, okay, two times, one you took a vote and passed something that wasn't on the agenda, and another person was brought to the mic [sic] during the business section and spoke on it. That is, it's disrespect to the people of this town when they don't know that something should be on the agenda, and they don't know so they don't come. That's how we got into a position many years

ago where we would see what we could get away with, which a couple people ran on that and are sitting on this council, because they don't want to do that, but that's what we did, and just to say that, a neighbor of mine left a letter at my door and it was on something else, on the docks, but he was telling everybody in here, I can, what he said is hurry up and get to this meeting, because you, don't be caught napping. The issues could be added at any time without prior notice to the citizens. See, people know. People know that you do that, you know. The Lambries decision is still enforced, if it is, let's stay with that. Let's try not to bring up things that aren't on the agenda again, because it leaves people without their constitutional rights of speaking, and that's what this is. As for the docks, if we could only turn back the hands of time and leave it the way it was before people, people (**) docks in, they did, and we could go back and we look when the last dock on Lake Elizabeth was put in, and we could've done that without the blessing. The problem I see the town giving the blessing to let people come and play, and when you do that you become responsible for everything, every action that goes on there. If you can word it right, which I don't think you can, because people bring lawyers and lawyers cost the town lots of money. We don't want to do that, but if we could just, I, I, I can, I can make out. I live on the lake. I wouldn't mind. We have to do it where the town's not responsible. Can we do that, because believe me, we'll be responsible. Thank you very much, and Lambries decision. We have one guy that used to talk about it all the time."

126 127 128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

"Good evening, everybody. Sandra Elliott, 5th Avenue North. Why are you, town council, bringing this ordinance back in any way about the docks? What's the rush? FEMA will be doing a surprise field inspection this year in 2014 to see if we're complying with our flood prevention ordinance and building codes. The town participates in a National Flood Insurance Program, which awards premium reductions to communities like us. Everyone in Surfside Beach who has flood insurance is receiving presently a 5-percent credit on their annual premium. Surfside is very close to obtaining a 10-percent reduction. This number can help when the government is spacing our subsidies. Who knows if the docks will compromise our community rating? No one does; only FEMA does. Can't we wait until the inspection is over? There are other questions that also have to be considered such as liability insurance. Will the whole town have to pay a higher tax millage to protect ourselves? We have 5,000 homes in our town and around 200 that have been polled for their opinions. Council, you must represent all of us. You need to remember that; not just those that are directly benefiting from this ordinance. Get the facts first, and then act. Thank you."

140 141 142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

"Good evening. Bill Thomas, Cedar Drive North in Surfside. Boy, I'm glad I don't have to make decisions you guys have to make! I, I will keep being very brief, which is uncharacteristic. But, I do appreciate the, the fact that the draft that we have before you, of which there is controversy, I understand, but is a step in the right direction, if we need an ordinance about docks in the first place. So, I applaud that. I, I do still have a difficulty, a significant difficulty with the, what I would call onerous requirements on those who might want to build a dock such as the hold harmless agreement, such as the liability insurance...I'm not an insurance quy, but I don't know that you can buy it, frankly, but that's another story for another day, and I'll keep my ammunition dry for that. I sort of agree with Mr. Ott that, and I'm sure it's unintentional, but folks, I'm not the brightest guy in the world about anything, but especially when it comes to operating my way around a PC [personal computer], but I'm having a hard time finding out what's going on by going on the town website. I didn't look today. I had other fish to fry, but when I, when I looked yesterday afternoon, the agenda for tonight's meeting was still not published. I, I now understand and Mrs. Herrmann was real good to me several months ago and said would you like to be on this mailing list, and I said, good Lord, I don't want more stuff coming to my house, so I said no. But what I, what I now know is that if you want to get the agenda and if you want to get the ordinances and things that are going to come, you've got to be on that list, cause it's not on the website. (**) I don't quite understand that. It, it candidly, you know, smacks as a, hey, we don't want everybody to see this stuff, and I know that's not the case. But, let me encourage you in the interest of transparency, please, to take a look at that, and, and see about, you know, Mrs. Herrmann can't post something till she's got it approved, so, you know, let's try to get this information to folks in a timely fashion so we'll have a chance to read it and understand it. I, I through surreptitious means, I got a copy of it yesterday, and read through it. First time I'd seen it. I sort

a like this, except for those provisions that I, that I mentioned, and so I thank you for moving in the right direction. Have a good evening."

"Mike Dozier, Jr., 817 North Myrtle Drive. I'd like to thank the Mayor and Council for allowing us to speak and I know it's a thankless job and, and you, you never satisfy everyone, but as a new property owner in Surfside, I've been coming here since I was a kid; grew up in Marion, and bought property here two years ago. On that property is a dock with a cover, with power, and it's more than 12-feet wide. So, I think I am hitting on about 40- or 50-percent of the new violations of which I have a concern of how they get created in committee and how they get voted in at council, and I'm sure an attorney or, or some or all of you can explain to me how this doesn't get, how this get's passed without referendum. But, I would certainly appreciate, and certainly appreciate the comments that were made earlier by others who said that communication is really the key here. If we're only polling a couple of hundred residents, you know, the first thing, the first question that I asked, and thank you for your exchange in emails, Mayor, is, were the residents of, that have lake lots communicated with directly? And, the answer is no, they weren't, because I never got any kind of communication to tell me there was going to be any changes made to law or policy or (**) violations of the lake. You know, just in the philosophy of, of less government, in my opinion, is better government. I would appreciate, you know, the property that I buy to be the property that I buy and to not be changed, because a group of folks want to reduce liability. Is there special insurance required for homes around the dog park? Are there, is there special insurance beyond FEMA for beach front homes, if something happens to someone, you know, between their yard and the dunes? So, those are, those are the kind of questions that I think follow what Bill just said, and other, and again, I'm, I'm done. I appreciate your time. Thank you."

"Sue Farra, 5th Avenue North. I really have nothing against the, the look of the docks that are existing already. I feel if people would like to build decks in their yards that would be wonderful. But, has the council considered the liability that they're opening the town up to when they encourage people that for recreational use on these lakes? Where I grew up, we called them sumps, and they were sumps because rainwater went into them. Bacteria grew in them. The geese flock to them. Geese feces, this is just some of the bacteria and the protozoa that come out of geese. Geese lay a, drop one and a half pounds of feces a day, each goose. We have at least 25 geese walking around 5th Avenue. I chase them off every day in the summer. Alright, among the diseases that are caused by geese feces cryptosporidium, gaillardia, coliform to name a few. They all cause severe intestinal distress, and hydrate, dehydration. Cryptosporidium can survive water treatment, alright, and it will be viable for, the cysts are viable for over 21 days in salt water; longer in fresh water. It also survives chlorination. Gaillardia also survives (**) and other treatment. It also will survive more than three weeks in salt water and longer in fresh water. So, people bring their lawyers to town meetings. Everyone who goes on that lake and is liable to get one of these intestinal diseases and will be calling Akim, Stark, Sink, and the town will be answering. That's my opinion. Thank you."

"I'm Ron Whitcomb, 103 Harbor Lights Drive. I've been here before about the same issue. I am very much in favor of the docks, and my neighbors are and I'm just kind of speaking to give you some of our view points. The docks that are on the lakes now have been there for many years. I don't know of any great incidents that have happened because of these docks. I haven't heard of anything. They are decades old. People repair them. They take care of their docks. Before this all started to come, people were allowed to put docks for some time. If you allow docks, I don't this huge influx of docks where you're gonna get dozens of new docks on these lake. It's just not gonna happen. You might get a few; you probably will. I'm sure I plan on putting a small one. The docks have been there for a long time. As far as the liability, if you add a few docks, I don't see where you're making big difference in liability. The town has built docks on the lakes. I don't see where there's a liability problem there when the town built those. You built the one with the gazebo down the other end of Dogwood Lake. You built another one over on 16th. These are docks. I mean they're called observation points or whatever; it's weasels, ferrets, polecats, they're weasels all under the same name. I asked before if I could build a structure on the shoreline overhanging the water a little bit. I was told no. It's a dock. The town has built them, so. As far as the town goes, the town is allowing themselves [sic] to build docks. As far as the water situation goes,

I understand about the geese. Geese are migratory birds. Building docks are not going to bring more geese. They're still gonna be there. They're not gonna stop. As far as people coming in contact with the water, there is shoreline all around there. You're gonna come in contact with the water just as easily from the shoreline as you are from the dock, and I, I just think, I don't see the problem with a lot of these things. People that are so concerned that this is gonna be a big influx of dock building, it's pretty much gonna go on as much as it is. We've just got a little more freedom. The people that have their docks, we don't want to see also where if this is something that goes where it's a grandfather situation where if they're told they can't repair their docks, they can't rebuild their docks, now you're creating a bigger liability. You're gonna have degenerating docks, and you're gonna have people that could possibly get hurt or have problems with these docks, or they might become structurally unsound, and then you might have things breaking away. That's not a good idea either. That's my opinion. I appreciate the time. Thank you very much."

"Ann Wescott, 413 Cedar Drive North. I'm totally amazed that nobody has come here and commented that keeping this on the agenda is illegal. It's bad enough that our Federal Government tramples on the law, but do we have to do it here? There's people who want all these docks. I can understand why they want them, but they didn't live here through [Hurricane] Hugo. They didn't live here through [Hurricane] Fran. They have no idea how bad it can be. Thank you."

Mayor Samples said for the public benefit and information that it was true docks have been on the lakes for some time. He encouraged everyone to go to the town website or to contact the town clerk. Town Council adopted a policy of prohibiting docks pursuant the planning commission recommendation at the May 25, 2004 regular council meeting. Clearly council voted to prohibit docks in 2004 and again in 2013. Mayor Samples was sure the issue was not dead, but clearly the planning commission needed to review the proposed ordinance since it was a new start. The town had a legal opinion confirming that the planning commission must review and make a recommendation before adoption by council.

6. COMMUNICATIONS.

- A. Department Reports.
- i. Planning, Building & Zoning.

Ms. Morris presented the written report, a copy of which is on file. There are currently 11 new homes being built in town. Plans for three more are under review. Building permits issued increased from 56 in December 2012 to 103 in December 2013. The town now participates in the CPTED (Crime Prevention through Environmental Design) by talking with citizens and commercial property owners about steps that can be taken to enhance their property in hopes of deterring vandalism and crime. Staff met with the public works director and requested CPTED be used for town-owned properties when pruning trees and shrubs in the parks and rights-of-way. After consulting with the State Arborist to ensure ISA (International Society of Arboriculture) guidelines were being met at the passive park, public works pruned the park so that limbs are no lower the 6-feet and shrubs are no higher than 2-feet. Several calls were received from citizens saying that love the open feel of the park, and feel much safer while exercising and walking. Staff is working diligently to lower the town's CRS (Community Rating System) rating. Currently, the town is rated 9, which means property owners save 5-percent on their premiums. By reducing the rating, property owners could save even more on premiums, especially for properties located within the special flood hazard areas.

Mr. Smith asked if there was an ordinance to address property owners keeping their parking lots in good repair. There are a number of parking lots with pot holes. Ms. Morris the parking lots could be inspected and letters sent to the owners pursuant to the property maintenance code. Staff performs inspections as they are in the various areas around town, and will inspect a property upon hearing a complaint.

280

281

282 283

284 285

286 287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305 306

307 308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323 324 Mr. Stevens asked if the CRS system applied to all areas in a flood zone. Ms. Morris said the entire town was in a flood zone. The special flood hazard area was where building elevation was required.

Ms. Dodge thought it was a great idea to prune bushes, especially at intersections, but all over town. It is a safety factor, and she thought it was a good idea.

Mayor Samples asked Ms. Morris to consider whether there was some action council needed to take to enable staff to assure that parking lots are in safe condition, and bring a recommendation through the town administrator.

ii. Police.

Chief Keziah presented the written report, a copy of which is on file. December 2013 shows an 11.8-percent increase in calls for service from last month. Arrest data from December 2012 to 2013 decreased 64-percent, 256 to 156. This was attributed to many factors, included the town hosting two or three checkpoints, and this year officers were performing field training. There was one personnel action during December for a minor policy violation. Three officers are in the academy and they all should be finished with training in March. There were two use of force incidents involving authorized use of hands techniques. One injury with back paid was reported, but found that it was a previous injury. Staff continued online mandated training, and also has taken the Municipal Association's (MASC) online training for dispatchers and supervisors. During December, Investigator Brode attended basic detective school. CPTED was previously discussed. Lieutenant Hofmann hosted a field training officer class during December to certify some of the new supervisors to perform field training for the academy graduates. Reports were included in the package with workload statistics. Chief Keziah commented that the US Marshal Task Force cleared 12 outstanding warrants, and collected about \$5,900 in bond and fine money. The 2013 statistics for the town's participation in the task force, which does not include the town's assistance with other high profile cases: 267 outstanding warrants; \$83,826.78 in fine money. The police and fire departments will host Coffee with Cops Thursday morning, January 16th, at the Golden Egg Restaurant at 7:30. He encouraged everyone to attend so they could meet the officers and talk with them. The application period for the second Citizens Police Academy is open. The academy will begin on January 30 and last six weeks from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. Applications are available on the town website, and at the police department.

iii. Fire.

Chief Cimini presented the written report, a copy of which is on file. Year 2013 was pretty busy for the fire department. In December there 71 incidents that included 9 fire runs; 33 emergency rescue calls; 2 hazardous conditions; 6 service calls; 8 good intent calls, and 13 false alarms. The estimated loss by fire for the month was \$50,000, contributed to two structure fires that were incendiary, which means intentionally set. The town's police department and fire investigator, and Horry County fire investigation unit is following up on those events. There are two new volunteer applicants being vetted. There are currently 28 volunteers. There were 38 fire prevention activities during the month that included 5 completed inspections; 28 pending re-inspections; 2 public education events; 1 pre-plan revised/updated review, and 2 fire station visits. Volunteer and career personnel dedicated 186 hours to training and 37 hours responding to calls during December. Captain Williams held a class on "Back Injury Prevention" for all town employees in conjunction with the Municipal Association Risk Management to lower the town's insurance premium. Career personnel completed an additional five hours training on the MASC training site. Career personnel also continue the Centre Learn training to maintain their skills and ensure the knowledge is up to date on national trends as first responders. Captain Williams' Neighbor Saving Neighbor program is entering its second month. Fifty town residents have already completed the program. The next session begins on January 16th and includes basic first aid, how to control bleeding, use of an Epi pen, bandaging, and other first aid training methods. CPR (Cardio Pulmonary

Resuscitation) will also be offered. Engineer Ted Sacra was promoted to Battalion Captain on January 3rd. He is assigned to the "B" shift. Captain Sacra started as a volunteer in 2003, and became a career fire fighter in 2007. Chief Cimini cited the following statistics for the year 2013: 63 fire runs; 484 rescue/ emergency/medical incidents; 12 hazardous conditions/no fire; 96 service calls; 45 good intent calls, and 84 false alarm/false calls. Total loss for 2013 was \$110,500. There were 784 incidents in 2013 compared to 722 in 2012, an increase of 62. Engine 58 refurbishing is close to completion and is within budget.

C. Administrator's Report – Update on Current Events.

Ms. Fellner reported

Ad sales for the town magazine were up to \$23,452.50. There is another \$3,600 anticipated this week, but the contract has yet to be finalized. Cost for the project is \$61,000; \$25,000 was budgeted, so there is a shortfall of \$12,547.50 without the anticipated sale. If the \$3,600 sale is finalized, the shortfall will be \$8,947.50.

Mayor Samples asked when the magazines should go to print to be available in short term rentals. Ms. Fellner said a production meeting was scheduled with Grand Strand Media. The production schedule will be set at that meeting. Ad sales will have to stop at a time certain to allow time for ad creation. Mayor Samples said the town is celebrating its 50th Anniversary in 2014, and there are references to that in the magazine, it made sense to get the magazines published early in the season. It appears there will be a shortfall, and welcomed ideas and suggestions; however, the project would move forward. He asked if there were objections, and there were none.

- The pier restaurant roof has been completed. The pier parking lot paving improvements will be completed by early next week. The plants for the landscaping in the area were ordered and should be delivered and installed within the next few weeks.
- The 12th Avenue South deck style (12 x 12 with seating) walkover is nearing completion. The next project will be at installation of the deck style walkover at 12th Avenue North.

Mayor Samples asked if that was the last one approved by OCRM (South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Services – Department of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management). Ms. Fellner believed there was another small project approved.

- The 50th Anniversary banners were installed in the business district; they look great. Twenty-five banners will be installed along Highway 17; 14 more on Surfside Drive, and two at the pier parking lot. Installation should be done by early next week, weather permitting.
- The north end draining project is awaiting additional utility markings from Grand Strand Water and Sewer before engineering can be completed.
- The 3rd Avenue South swash restoration project has received SCDHEC (South Carolina Department of Health and Environment Control) land disturbance approval and permitting. Staff is awaiting a permit from the Army Corp of Engineers for the project. The engineer has completed specification.
- Both the north end project and the 3rd Avenue South project should be ready to let for bids by the end of the month.

Mayor Samples asked if the Corp had to approve the project. Ms. Fellner said yes, it is tidal waters.

• The finance committee met and reviewed all figures regarding the town's employee medical insurance and met with the insurance broker. There is no change to the carrier, nor is there any increase to medical premiums. All increases are solely due to fees and taxes associated with the Affordable Care Act. The total annual increase is estimated to be \$40,704.96, with employees assuming responsibility for \$7,679.52 of that total. The town assumes responsibility for the remaining portion, \$33,025.44. Very significant changes were made to help minimize the overall cost of insurance. The first was that the in-network went from 80-percent co-insurance coverage to 70-percent; out-of-network went from 60-percent to 50-percent. The second was that the deductible was changed from \$5,000 to \$3,000, with a health incentive credit of \$750, and the reason that was reduced was that the town will no longer participate in the employees deductible (change number 3).

Mayor Samples said the town had self-insured since about 2002 to help employees pay their deductible, which resulted in a lower cost overall for the town. This last change was in the best judgment of the finance committee that it was costing the town too much. Ms. Fellner said it was costing the town a lot of money and there was absolutely no control over that; \$3,500 was spent for each employee's deductible. The town got no credit for the portion it paid on the employee's deductible. It was fully credited towards the employee's out of pocket expenditures.

Mr. Smith asked what the impact would be on this fiscal year budget. Ms. Fellner said that it would be about 10-percent, or around \$40,000.

Mayor Samples said it would actually be about half that amount for the remainder of this fiscal year. Ms. Fellner said that was correct; about 5-percent.

Mr. Smith asked for the dollar amount. Ms. Fellner said about \$20,000. Mr. Smith asked if a budget amendment would be presented. Ms. Fellner said yes.

Mr. Stevens asked if the Affordable Care Act would cost the town about \$20,000. Ms. Fellner said for this fiscal year. Moving forward, it would be about 10-percent of what is currently paid for health care, and that amount was calculated after policy changes were made. Mr. Stevens asked if there was any forecast for the next fiscal year. Ms. Fellner said yes, about \$40,000. Staff could only project the first half of the next fiscal year, because insurance premiums always change in mid-December, which is about the half-way point in the town's fiscal year.

Ms. Fellner explained, because of earlier comments about the website, that the town did not pay \$6,000 for website management software. When a new year begins, staff must manually create new navigation paths for new year folders. She apologized for the delay in publishing the 2014 meeting documents, and said that next year she would have the new year folders set up in December to avoid delays after the new year begins. Over the past two years, every agenda has been published with links to the supporting documents on the Friday prior to the meeting date, which is the same day that Town Council receives meeting packets. This package was not published until Monday, January 13th, but it was published 24-hours in advance, which complied with the Freedom of Information Act. Ms. Fellner wanted the public to know that it was not Town Council that caused the delay; it was a staff issue.

Mayor Samples asked if this could be anticipated at the end of every year. Ms. Fellner said she personally will handle the rollover in the future. Mayor Samples said council apologized for information that normally would have been available on the website that was not. Ms. Fellner reiterated staff's apology, and that it was published 24-hours in advance, although it was not published on Friday so the public would have it available over the weekend.

7. BUSINESS.

A. First Readings of Ordinances.

433 434

435 436

442 443 444

445

446

447

448

461

462

463

464

471

472

483 484

485

486

i. #13-0766 to amend §17-417 to allow Docks and to add §17-418 Penalties for Violation, **Councilmember Smith.** (This portion it typed verbatim due to complexity of the business item.)

Mr. Smith: "Mr. Mayor, I'd like to make a motion. I move that we adopt first reading of Ordinance #13-0766, which was deferred at the November the 12th, 2013 council meeting, with an amendment to delete the entire body and substitute the language published with this meeting's agenda."

Mr. Stevens: "Second."

Mayor Samples: "There's a motion. There's a second."

Mr. Smith: "I believe that we adhered to the spirit of the law in the sense that we published what we will be considering. We used the number from the deferred ordinance. That's probably a mistake. I'll take credit for not being aware. I had asked and my information was not enough to make the right decision on that. But, we are meeting the spirit of the law in the fact that we have published what we are gonna be voting on. The language presented is the one that was first, the language that is presented is the language that was passed by the planning commission on July 2nd, 2013 to allow docs."

Mayor Samples: "Any other comments or discussion?"

Mr. Johnson: "Mr. Mayor, I would like to make a motion to suspend rules for discussion." Ms. Kohlmann: "Second." All members voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. Johnson: "Mr. Mayor, first on my list is I wonder why this is being brought back up, and you know; maybe Mr. Smith would shed some light on that fact."

Mr. Smith: "Yes, in July there was a special meeting to consider allowing docks on town lakes. At that special meeting, they deleted the part that said allow docks and inserted a part that says prohibit docks. I do not think we should prohibit docks, therefore, I am trying to bring up an ordinance that will allow docks, and that is the reason we're doing it. We've had meetings and people come in and talk about the quality of the water at the lakes. They don't find a big problem with the quality. Our stormwater committee measures the e-coli. They don't find a problem. Horry County says that allowing docks will not affect our agreement with them, and so I think it's appropriate to bring it back. I believe in property rights. You have a lot on the lake, you should be allowed to have a dock on the lake. It's that simple. If they hadn't prevented it in July, we'd be working on a second reading of that ordinance. But, when they did that, we have to start over and this is what we're doing."

Mr. Stevens: "This is an issue that I've thought about and thought about and I've thought about, and I remember what the Constitution [sic] says that we have a right to life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. We live in a world now where we have governments pushing and shoving regulations down our throats every day. We've gotta buy this kind of insurance. We can't have this doctor. You can't do this. You can't do that, and the main thing that I look at this, this is, comes down to individual liberties and property rights. People have a right to live their lives as long as they abide within the law, and they have that right all over this nation. It's time that we allow people who own property; they don't stop you from building a walk way over the dunes. They don't stop you from building a walk way out into the marsh. They don't stop you from building a dock on the rivers, and (**) we had the Coastal Carolina people; we had the Clemson people; we had our own water people say that the water was safe. We have people swimming in the ocean all the time. I do know that DHEC has all six of our outfalls under the impaired water list. If they can swim there, I don't, I don't agree with swimming in those swashes, but the fact is years ago I had friends that lived on 17th Avenue North. Charlie Helsel and his son, Donald Helsel, used to paddle a surfboard, his son used to paddle a surfboard from Dogwood Lake right out into the ocean. There was no dam there. We built the dam. We're getting all the sludge and junk from the county. Why aren't they chipping in and paying to clean our lakes. Every person that lives on the lake has a right, a

riparian right to utilize their lakefront property, whether it's a deck built on their land or whether it's a dock in the water. It can be done safely, and I just feel like we're stepping on people's toes that own lakefront property. Maybe we're jealous of them cause they own lakefront property. I don't know. But, it's not right. They bought that property, and they spent their hard earned money, and they have a right to enjoy their property. I really believe that. This is about property rights. This is about individual freedom, and the government, the Federal Government is taking that away from us. I don't want Surfside to take that away from the people in Surfside. Everybody here knows that we have a constitution that protects us. We have the right to due process. We have the right to trial by jury."

Mayor Samples: "Mr. Stevens, excuse me for interrupting you, but I would like to get other comments."

Mr. Stevens: "Okay, I want to finish up. I believe that everybody has a right, if you live on the lake or you live on the beach, you have a right to use your, the place in front of you."

Ms. Mabry: "The fact is we have gotten an email from our Risk Management Insurance Company, everybody up here knows it, that they are not going to insure any accident or the town. if we don't do what they say do, and that is go through and get opinions from every federal and state agency and make sure that they're gonna allow the docks. This is not my decision. This is not council's decision. We're working with other people now. You're asking me to allow a private dock on a public land that makes us responsible. I don't like it, but that's the law, and we have to be insured, and our own insurer says until we get these answers, they're not gonna insure us. If we don't follow all the laws, we only know what we don't know. That's all we know. We don't even know what agencies; we've got tidal waters; we've got FEMA; we've got OCRM that we have to answer to. All, we have already written these agencies. We have asked for an opinion so that we can make an informed decision. I personally don't care. We have 170 people, plus, that are allowed to build docks on the lake. I don't care if they build a dock on the lake. But, I do care if the lady over on Moss is gonna have to pay the insurance premium that we don't know if we're gonna get to anyway. We have to be fair. This is a town decision; a whole town. We have to do what's right. All I'm asking is for a few months to get the opinions of these agencies so that we can do it correctly, and all of a sudden it's election time, don't misunderstand, and now we're gonna ramrod this through without doing due diligence, and if you didn't know, I apologize because you should have known this, and it's my fault you didn't. The million dollars that was brought up was an attorney's opinion. It's standard and that's where; it did not come from council. So, I have had one, one citizen say can we get a cup of coffee. I need to know what's going on. I sat down. I had a cup of coffee with him. He said I understand now. I'm fine with it. That's all I have to say."

Ms. Dodge: "If I understand correctly, a number of citizens in town own property around the lakes and some of their property lines goes into the lakes, so how can we tell them if they want to put a dock on their property, not town property, their property that they can't do that? It doesn't make sense to me."

Mayor Samples: "Let's take this in order. Let's speak twice. What I want to point out is the issue of docks; it's not that people are against docks, okay. It's not a pro- anti-issue. I know each member has sat at the dais and, frankly, behind closed doors in executive session and raised, I think most of us, have raised questions. I don't think, frankly, we have answers in writing. We had an email from Horry County that was a one liner. Unless Horry County, correct me if I'm wrong..."

Mr. Smith: "Yes, sir, you're wrong."

Mayor Samples: "Well, it would be nice if you would share, again, it's part of sharing. I heard Mr. Thomas earlier and somebody else talk about communications. It would be nice if members would share communications that you may have, because the last I heard when we dealt with the issue the question was put to Steve Gosnell, who's the assistant administrator for infrastructure for Horry County, as it related to how would this affect the contract agreement that the city has with the county over the maintenance sharing. And, to correct Mr. Stevens, there is a contract where the county agrees to pay 70-

percent of the maintenance of those ponds, and it's been in place since about 2002. I know some of you were aware of that. So getting to my point, is I have seen nothing in writing on letterhead from the county that answers that essent [sic,] to me it's an essential question, because I wouldn't want to do something to abrogate the contract agreement that the town has with the county in terms of maintenance that would be assuming a liability on the part of all that taxpayers, if you understand. And, that, that's a simple example of the lack of information that I know we all have talked about. Now, the administrator indicated to us the week before Christmas, okay, when we wanted to bring this issue back that many of the questions concerning the agencies that the county, I mean that the city should at least approach in order for us to do our due diligence, which we have a responsibility to do. We haven't done that. We haven't done that and the administrator indicated to us that it was due to workload issues, and she delineated those for each and every one of us. And so, I won't be supporting an ordinance tonight, because I don't have the information, okay, that, that for me, for me that I could vote in good conscience to say, yeah, go ahead. Let's have these docks. I know that most; our ponds and lakes are different. They're not all identical. Some of them as were mentioned are tidal. We're not building anything on that until you go to the feds. That's a certainty. Okay, that's just the way it is. That's not the town's law. And there are concerns that I have having been a bureaucrat in a past life working with the federal government or the state government about little Surfside Beach taking actions that seem quite, hey, it's our town, we can do what we want, and then getting slapped later on. I don't see what the rush is. I would prefer that the town ask formally and that we get written responses to some of the questions and issues. Another simple example was if, if we grant encroachments, Ms. Dodge's question about private property is an excellent one, and one that this council has not addressed, okay. Have we? No. We haven't. What we've focused on is where it is public property the town does own some. But consequently, an encroachment permit is required by private parties to access the public property similar to what we do on Surfside Drive at the restaurants. Okay, they want to serve outdoors, great. They have to get a permit and follow the law, and oh, by the way, they have to purchase an insurance policy naming us as a third party in the event something happens on public, on those sidewalks. Okay. Alright, I've said my piece, till the second round."

Mr. Smith: "Mr. Mayor, I'd like to remind everyone that this is a first reading. Next it will go to the planning commission, which I believe is to meet February the 4th, so it'll probably be six weeks minimum before it comes back for a second reading. That's a lot of time, but in the meantime, and I have to apologize for the administrator, she received a letter from Horry County signed by Steve Gosnell that said that on letterhead that said that they didn't have any problem with our ordinances, and I, she told me that it would be in the packet. Evidently, it didn't make it, and so I apologize for her for that, but we do have a letter from Horry County signed by Steve Gosnell, who's the assistant county administrator and county engineer. Now, the other issues with FEMA and so forth, we've got six weeks minimum before it comes back for a second reading. In the meantime, it's gonna go sit in planning and zoning, so if you guys have comments about the actual text, go to the planning and zoning meeting. February 4th, I believe, is when the next planning meeting is. It'll be right here in this chamber, so again, there's plenty of time before second reading. This is a first reading, and so that is where we are."

Ms. Kohlmann: "I just wanna give my opinion on things, but I want to speak to the public that I was one of the people in the July meeting. I voted against the docks on the lakes. It's record, and after I voted for it, I did a lot of research, and I got a lot more information that was not readily available to me at that time. So, I think that it's important, and, and when we talk about rushing things through, looking back, I believe that that special meeting was rushed through. If this was sitting for years and years with different council, and understand that there's a policy made in May of 2004, but it was never codified. So to codify it, this was brought up. I, I still, I don't think anybody even knows why all this was brought up. I don't know of any issue on the lakes before, and I have to agree when Mr. Johnson commented that we shouldn't stick our nose where is doesn't belong. But, here we are today, this is where we are at, and I don't want to put staff down in any way, shape or form, I adore our staff, and I try very hard to boost them up. But I did ask for that, November 19th there were questions to all of those agencies. That was my question November 19th, and in December again, and I understand you're gonna say they work too hard. I understand all of that. But then do we need as a group when we need information such as that that is

important, that we need to give direction as a whole council to get that information that is imperative for us to make decisions on, and as I've been told numerous times since I've taken office, but this is first reading. Many times I've been told it's first reading. We have plenty of time. I think that if we don't do first reading that we're doing an injustice, because it's gonna sit, and I think we need to just finally do this. It's the council that has to do something, because all the other councils have made policy, have said they agree with P&Z, but, but I'm not gonna go on and on. I'm just gonna simply say that in my opinion, I think it needs to be done."

Ms. Mabry: "If it was important to get this information in November, why is it not important to have it today? Why is it not important to have to be able to have a workshop and share, because clearly we're not doing that as well as we should, but have a workshop and share the information that we have received with the public, and then sit down and decide what is best, and let y'all participate. What is wrong with doing that? We're not gonna shut down your business. We're not gonna starve your children. We're talking about a dock that everyone up here, as far as I know, is willing to support as long as the information comes back so that we will know what to do and properly make an ordinance that will not have to be changed again; that the gentleman who said he is out of compliance knows that he is safe up to a certain point, and that he's gonna be protected; that we really do the work that needs to be done. But we can't do that, and we cannot allow this when we know good and well we're not gonna have insurance on that lake. Who in their right mind would do that? If you work for the town, how could you justify that? Maybe nothing will ever happen. Chances are, God forbid, it will never happen. But it only takes one time, before the Town of Surfside Beach is writing a check, and let me tell you about a hold harmless. I have a lot of friends that are attorneys, and he said that child that falls off your deck, he doesn't have any contract with the town, and they don't care. We're in it, and it will probably never happen. How many times have you ever sat at home and said I never thought it would happen? We've all done that. We have a fiduciary responsibility to the town as a whole. Please, let us do our job. Promise you, with everything in my heart, I'm not gonna turn away from the docks. I'm asking you to give us time to get it right."

Mr. Johnson: "I would like to make a motion that we go back under regular rules." Ms. Dodge: "Second." All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Mayor Samples: "We're back under regular rules. Anybody wants a copy of the letter from Horry County, sorry. I'm just making sure we all got the same information. Alright, Ms. Herrmann, where are we? Is there a motion on the table?"

Mr. Smith: "Mr. Mayor, I call for the vote."

Mayor Samples: "Before we do that, if, if I could, I was gonna suggest to Ms. Kohlmann that your point about getting information would you like to include direction to the administrator. Would you want to amend that motion or would you like to handle it as a separate motion?"

Ms. Kohlmann: "Separate (**)." Several speaking at once (**).

Mayor Samples: "Regular order, please. There's a motion on the floor, are we prepared to vote? All in favor of the ordinance...it is the...what's the number of the ordinance?"

Mr. Smith: "13-0766."

Mayor Samples: "All in favor say aye." Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmember Johnson voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Mayor Samples: "Would you like to direct, give the administrator some direction, and I would fully support it, because again, and I have taken time, but I've seen emails from a number of councilmembers, particularly on this end and I've support them, okay, because I agree we need information, okay."

 Ms. Kohlmann: "Mr. Mayor, may we just ask if it's being done; that list, because I think, I do believe that email was sent out and everybody agreed with those questions for those places. I don't even know if it has been sent out, so before we give her, maybe she did it already."

Several speakers from the audience (**) Mayor Samples, "Regular order, regular order now. Ms. Fellner, let me, I know that I crafted an email subsequent to Ms. Kohlmann's initial email asking for information. Have we sent written documents, requests for information to all the appropriate agencies?" Ms. Fellner: "We have not from all appropriate agencies." Mayor Samples: "Have we gotten an attorney, have we given direction to the attorney in any way, shape or form with respect, and if so, can you bring us up to speed on what he's doing?" Ms. Fellner: "Well, the attorney has told us that it would increase our liability." Mayor Samples: "I understand that, but I also understand that in discussion, I think some of us remember other issues which were raised with the attorney. Have we asked for any legal review, opinion?" Ms. Fellner: "He, he did write something, yes. He did write something." Mayor Samples: "Specifically, did he answer the question as it related to public access by anybody in town, outside of town? Yes, no?" Ms. Fellner: "I believe he, I believe he did. I'd have to look at it again. I haven't..." Mayor Samples: "Okay, can you please forward his, that information to all members, to all members." Ms. Fellner: "I can do that."

Mayor Samples: "Have we sent any kind of letter request to DHEC?" Ms. Fellner: "We had contact with DHEC; we have not sent a formal letter of request. If you want formal letters of request, if council wants formal letters of requests to FEMA, OCRM, the Army Corp, I mean, here's what's gonna happen. Normally, you don't do this unless you're in a permitting stage of something. I, I will have to craft something, and I'll have to do it. I don't even know. I'll get the attorney to work on it with me." Mayor Samples: "I think that's why we had executive session previously, okay, was to try to narrow down some of the issues at hand, because it was, in fact, on the agenda previously. Council, and this is the way I see it, council was not prepared to vote on it at that time because there was a number of unanswered questions, and in my mind, there remain a number of legitimate questions that affect nonlake owning taxpayers in this town, and before we get, before we proceed and say, sure, it's okay to do so, we want to understand the scope of our liability." Ms. Fellner: "I clearly understand what you're saying. It was not on an agenda until tonight." Mayor Samples: "And I cannot make a motion, I cannot make a motion to that effect, which is why I'm trying to clarify it in the sense that we're gonna send it back to planning and zoning. There's no question about that. But planning and zoning is gonna have the same types of questions that we have, because in fact they have more information now than they did when they first undertook the matter, because of the efforts that council has taken to try to collect information."

- Mr. Stevens: "This is just a point of information and this is my primary, make sure the public understands, planning commission met on the docks..."
 - Ms. Mabry: "Point of Order. Point of information is when he asks the chair a question."
 - Mr. Stevens: "Well, whatever, I want to make this sure that the public knows this."
 - Mayor Samples: "What is the right point? You need to make a motion to suspend the rules."
- Mr. Stevens: "I'd like to make a motion to suspend the rules." Ms. Dodge and Mr. Smith: "Second." All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**
- Mr. Stevens: "The planning commission met on June 3rd, 2013. I remember attending that meeting."

701

723

744

745

754

Mayor Samples: "I do, too. I mean I remember that you attended it, because I got calls about it."

Mr. Stevens: "Okay. I'll address that later, and then on July 2nd the planning commission met, met on that. On June 11th, Town Council met about docks, and then on July 9th, we met and we were doing second reading on the docks and at that time Ms. Fellner said that she wanted us to defer the docks so she could get some more information. And three days after that, a special meeting was called on July 12th where, I was on vacation with my brother, who was visiting. I hadn't seen him in four years, and you had a special meeting three days later after July 9th, meeting on July 12th, which that was when the docks were prohibited. Talk about a rush to judgment, or rush. That is a rush, cause we were trying to get information back on July 9th, and we never got that information. All of a sudden for some ungodly and unknown reason we called a special meeting and it, everybody has to come and it has to be done, just like boom, and I never understood that. I don't know why [sic] the reason was. I also think that we've already set legal precedence in this town by allowing encroachment permits, and I'm very, very, very afraid that this town may end up in more lawsuits, because we're denying people their property rights, and their riparian rights, and I'd hate to think that we've already got enough law suits. We've had them by the gazoos [sic], and I just hate to see us getting 'em. Our insurance rate has sky, gone sky high, because of these lawsuits."

Mayor Samples: "Mr. Stevens..."

Mr. Stevens: "That's all I have to say."

Ms. Kohlmann: "I make a motion to go back to regular session." Mr. Smith: "Second." All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

Ms. Kohlmann: "Mr. Mayor, I'd like to make a motion to send Ordinance Number 13-0766 to planning and zoning." Mr. Stevens: "Second."

Mr. Stevens: "Mr. Mayor, I would like to share some pictures that I took. I think everybody is around here is familiar with Plantation Lakes, and I would like to share these with council. Take a look at 'em. A possible alternative..."

Mr. Johnson: "Point of Order, Mr. Mayor. Number one, Plantation Lakes is not in the Town of Surfside Beach, and I think it's extremely irrelevant."

Mr. Stevens: "It has to do with docks."

Mr. Johnson: "Mr. Mayor, Point of Order. I think Councilman Stevens is wasting our time."

Mr. Stevens: "Mr. Mayor, we are talking about docks."

Mayor Samples: "Well, here. Mr. Stevens, I tell you what, I would like you to read something that is directly from Surfside Beach, okay, if you want to, for the record. This is the May 25, 2004 regular meeting and for your information, you see where it, you know, I'm just suggesting you read it for the record so that it gets in the record and that way we have the facts."

Ms. Kohlmann: "Mr. Mayor, can we go back to where we were with, we have to finish something here first. I don't know if you need a motion or you need consensus of council to direct the administrator to a..."

Mr. Smith: "Mr. Mayor, there's a motion on the floor." (Several speaking at once **)

Mayor Samples: "Okay, all in favor?" All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Samples: "Okay, so we've got that done. It's going back to planning and zoning. I'm just gonna ask plainly, because I want to avoid being in the same situation that we're in and have been in for the last six months. Okay, let's speak plainly. Can we get some written ans...I'm glad that we have something that I haven't read, but I will read it after the meeting, from Horry County so that I can be up to date with the latest and greatest, and I appreciate Mr. Smith bringing that to my attention. But, there are other agencies involved and I think, again, it would be worthwhile to direct the administrator to, if necessary, work through the attorney to craft the appropriate letters so that we don't find out when we adopt the ordinance, for example, that now we have to put in ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) compliant access to these public lakes, and then we'll start taking telephone calls about people out in the lakes in the middle of the night or what have you. All I want to do is I want to preempt that type of problem, and I think it would be worthwhile to get (**) so if somebody else would..."

Ms. Kohlmann: "Can we do it by consensus or does it need a motion, Mr. Mayor?"

Mayor Samples: "I would prefer that it be done by motion in this instance since, you know, I thought we tried to do this several times."

Ms. Kohlmann: "Mr. Mayor, I'd like to make a motion to direct the administrator to gather the information that was sent in email for all those agencies listed and come back to council."

Mayor Samples: "From any member? All those emails?"

Ms. Kohlmann: "The emails, any, any agency that any member of council had asked for."

Mayor Samples: "Thank you. Does everybody understand the motion?"

Mr. Smith: "Second."

All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

ii. #14-0769 to amend §9-50 Wrecker and Tow Services, Chief Keziah.

Chief Keziah presented the ordinance explaining that it was a simple change to allow the rotation year to begin in January, the department's slow time, instead of June in the midst of the tourist season.

Mr. Johnson moved to approve first reading of Ordinance #14-0769 as presented. Mr. Stevens. Seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**

B. Resolution #14-140 to name Poplar Park the T. J. 'Bill' Harrison Park, Administrator Fellner.

Ms. Fellner presented the resolution. Ms. Herrmann read the resolution for the record along with the amendments suggested by Mr. Harrison, which are printed in red ink.

Resolution #14-140, in recognition of T. J. "Bill" Harrison, First Mayor of the Town of Surfside Beach Naming Poplar Park the "T. J. 'Bill' Harrison Memorial Park."

WHEREAS, the Town of Surfside Beach Town Council in chambers duly assembled in a regularly scheduled meeting held on the 14th day of January 2014 is desirous of recognizing Thomas Jenkins Harrison, also known as T. J. 'Bill' Harrison, the first mayor of the Town of Surfside Beach; and

WHEREAS, Town Council recognizes that a policy was adopted on September 9, 2003 that prohibits naming public properties after individuals; however, that policy was not codified as an ordinance, and Town Council deems it appropriate that this resolution be adopted for the following reasons:

- 1. T. J. "Bill" Harrison was the first mayor of the Town of Surfside Beach.
- 2. The Harrison Family has maintained a presence in Surfside Beach since before its inception in 1964, beginning in 1956 as the sixth permanent residents of Surfside Beach.
- 3. The first town hall office was located in adjacent to Mr. Harrison's grocery store on Highway 17 South at 3rd Avenue, in an office space built for this purpose; the first post office was also located adjacent to the grocery store.
- 4. The town celebrates its 50th Anniversary this year and the 50th Anniversary Focus Group recommended naming Poplar Park in honor of Mr. Harrison.

Now, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town of Surfside Beach Town Council does hereby formally name the park located on the corner of Surfside and Poplar Drives as the T. J. "Bill" Harrison Memorial Park.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following steps shall be taken to effect this change:

- 1. A sign designating the park as the T. J. "Bill" Harrison Memorial Park shall be erected in the park at the corner of Surfside Drive and Poplar Drive.
 - 2. A bronze relief of former Mayor Harrison shall be erected.
- 3. Said relief shall include former Mayor Harrison's tenure, 1964 to 1967, and such other information as Town Council approves upon recommendation of the town administrator.
- 4. The town administrator is directed upon adoption of this resolution to implement this change.

BE IT SO RESOLVED, this 14th day January 2014.

Mr. Smith moved to adopt Resolution #14-140 and incorporate the changes as read by the clerk in the second and third items. Ms. Mabry seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Mayor Samples recognized Mr. and Mrs. Randy Harrison, who were in the audience. He said the recognition was long overdue, but good things were worth waiting for. Mayor Samples explained that Mr. Randy Harrison was the son of mayor, T. J. Harrison. Town Council was pleased to recognize his service to the town. *(Applause.)* Mr. Harrison, speaking from the audience, thanked Town Council, the 50th Anniversary Focus Group, and staff who worked on the project to honor his father. On behalf of his brother, sister and other family members he offered sincere appreciation.

C. Fixed Asset Inventory, Mayor Samples and Councilmember Stevens.

Mr. Stevens said this was discussed in the finance committee. Basically, the desire is to direct the administrator to give Town Council a complete and up to date inventory of all fixed assets the town owns that could be published on the website so all the people in town know exactly what the town's money was being spent on and what was in the inventory so the citizens were completely informed.

Mr. Stevens moved to direct the administrator to prepare a fixed asset inventory as recommended in the audit. Mr. Smith seconded.

Ms. Kohlmann wanted to find out if there was a timeframe set for completion and if the administrator could explain what would be involved in preparing the inventory.

Mayor Samples said the finance director indicated that the inventory was prepared as a matter of routine in the past, and that it would not be difficult to prepare. He believes Ms. King would be able to complete the inventory timely along with department directors' assistance.

All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

- D. Committee Recommendations, Administrator Fellner.
- i. Keep Surfside Beach Beautiful Committee Hanging Baskets,

Ms. Fellner said the Keep Surfside Beach Beautiful Committee conducted a successful pilot program for hanging flower baskets. The committee recommends expanding the project to be budgeted annually. The proposal was to hang flower baskets along Surfside Drive and the pier parking area. It was recommended that a company be contracted for basket maintenance. The first year total would be \$13,636, which includes hardware, plants, and maintenance. There would also be a recurring annual fee of \$4,800 to cover plants and an outside maintenance contract. Ms. Fellner said for this particular year, there is enough landscaping funds budgeted in non-departmental general fund specifically designated for Ocean Boulevard to cover the cost. Currently in that line item there is approximately \$25,000. There was some discussion of using accommodations tax money; however, she did not recommend doing so as landscaping was not sanctioned in the state code except for feeders off highways leading to large public venues. A case could possibly be made for using accommodations tax funds for the baskets on Surfside Drive, but not on Ocean Boulevard or at the pier. It would probably be a fight with the Tourism Expenditure Revenue Committee, because that has been disallowed. Large feeders or gateways are highways like Interstate 95, not Highway 17. Town Council may make that decision on funding.

Mr. Smith thought this was a large sum of money and even though funds were budgeted and available, he preferred to wait until the next fiscal year to spend this kind of money. He would like to keep the baskets at the pier parking lot, and he would let the administrator and the staff figure out how to keep them watered. He asked that it be added to the budget items to consider for the next fiscal year.

Ms. Dodge agreed. This was not in the budget at this time, and she thought Mr. Smith's was a very good suggestion to look at it next year.

Ms. Mabry said \$25,000 was budgeted for landscaping on Ocean Boulevard. Town Council did put that in the budget. It is in the budget. She wanted to make sure that nobody was mislead and to think that it might be taken out of another fund or that they would expand; this would not be an amended budget item at the budget retreat; \$25,000 was included in the budget to improve landscaping on Ocean Boulevard. Ms. Mabry wanted to see the money used for what it was budgeted for.

Mr. Stevens said based on the very words that Ms. Mabry said he was opposed to spending the money, because she said this was money allocated for Ocean Boulevard. The diagram presented shows Surfside Drive. He did not believe this should be done now. If council wants to spend the money, spend it on Ocean Boulevard, but not on Surfside Drive. He, too, would like to wait until the next fiscal year.

Mayor Samples asked what the planned use was for the \$25,000. Ms. Fellner said landscaping after the parking system was evaluated; landscaping would be installed where cars were parking illegally. Mayor Samples said Ms. Fellner suggested funds were available. Ms. Fellner said this would not have

been brought forward as a possible funding source, if she did not believe there was enough money in the budget line.

Ms. Kohlmann said there are eight baskets at the pier parking lot. She asked if volunteers maintained those baskets. Ms. Fellner said because of the liability, staff now takes care of those baskets. Ms. Kohlmann was not opposed to the project, if funding was available. However, she did not think putting hanging baskets all down Surfside Drive would be beneficial, and suggested baskets be placed in the business district. The middle portion of Surfside Drive needs much more work than hanging some baskets. She suggested the project be discussed at the budget retreat where Mr. Adair could present this and other ideas for improving Ocean Boulevard. Ms. Fellner said the committee issue was that if council waits until the budget retreat, which is late this year, the whole season would be missed. She was trying to present the committee's concerns as well, because it was the committee's recommendation. Ms. Kohlmann asked if Ms. Fellner thought that many planters were necessary; were there any options to the committee's plan? Ms. Fellner said this was the committee's recommendation.

Mayor Samples said for background the committee came to Ms. Fellner thinking that accommodations tax funds would be available for use at the pier parking lot and on Ocean Boulevard. The town does not have many gateways, per se, but in terms of tourists, but it does have Surfside Drive, and the pier is a focal point. He thought the committee tried to fit the recommendation to meet the allowable use of accommodations tax funds. Mayor Samples had no problem delaying, but he was a little disappointed that the middle of slow season was here, and the parking committee recommended the removal of plants from certain golf cart beach accesses to increase the number of golf cart parking spaces. Here \$25,000 was budgeted by Town Council for landscaping, but there did not seem to be a plan. Mayor Samples commended the committee for at least putting pen to paper and crafting a recommendation for which a pilot program was approved previously. He believed everyone agreed that the area at the pier area looked nice. He had not had any calls asking that the plants be taken down. With the exception of Ms. Mabry, he believed there was no interest by council to pursue this project, and asked if that was a fair assessment. Several members responded yes.

Mr. Johnson did not have a problem with hanging baskets in the business district on Surfside Drive for this year, but between Poplar and the ocean, he thought more plans and budgeting should be done for next year.

Ms. Kohlmann agreed with Mr. Johnson. She thought there was a lot in the schematic of plants. She was not saying not to do the project, because the committee did a great job, but she would not be opposed to baskets in the business district, which would reduce the amount of funds necessary. It could be a starting point, and as funds were available, more baskets could be added.

Mayor Samples said there was \$25,000 in the budget for landscaping, and none had been spent. Ms. Fellner said that was correct. Mayor Samples said obviously there was a division, and recommended beautify the business district on Surfside Drive, which was suggested by Mr. Johnson and Ms. Kohlmann, and he believed Ms. Mabry would support that. In addition, he believed the town should continue to beautify the area down by the pier and keep the pier nice, because the more business it attracts the better off the town would be. Many people just drive down Ocean Boulevard. Mayor Samples said, frankly, he did not oppose spending more, but suggested cutting the budget in half and asking the committee to devise a plan based on that amount.

Mr. Smith asked if public works could provide information about the plans for the \$25,000 and plans for Ocean Boulevard.

Mayor Samples suggested Mr. Adair be asked that question the next time he presents. Ms. Fellner said staff was waiting to see what council would do with parking before planning any landscaping improvements.

Mayor Samples said the consensus was that Town Council appreciated the Keep Surfside Beach Beautiful Committee's recommendations; Town Council was not prepared to support the amount requested for this fiscal year, but would support an amount of about \$13,600.

Mr. Smith asked if council could specifically state that it wanted the business district beautified and the pier parking lot.

Mayor Samples said yes, and if there were funds remaining, they should consider adding the areas on Ocean Boulevard from 3rd Avenue North to 3rd Avenue South should be included, because that was where the utility lines were placed underground.

COUNCIL CONCURRED.

ii. Parking Committee.

Mayor Samples said the recommendations would be addressed individually as they were presented. Ms. Fellner said the Parking Committee met on January 10th to consider recommendations to Town Council regarding the upcoming parking meter season. The committee approved the following recommendations by motion:

- 1. The town should continue using Lanier Parking Solutions to manage its parking meters, and pay stations.
- Ms. Dodge moved to approve the recommendation to continue using Lanier Parking Solutions to handle parking in the town. Mr. Smith seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**
 - 2. Leave all existing parking meters and pay stations in place for the ensuing year.
 - Mr. Smith moved to accept this recommendation. Mr. Stevens seconded.

Mayor Samples asked what impact this would have financially. Ms. Fellner said there were some recommendations that were not properly voted on, but she did want council to be aware of the options. A purchase would be necessary, because last season the town used 30 IPS meters as demo units, which are the most up-to-date machines that allowed users to swipe a credit or debit card to pay. Those machines had to be returned to the manufacturer and the town will need to purchase replacements.

Mayor Samples said he attended the parking committee meeting, and the recommendations were not formally voted on by the committee, because it was information provided at the end of the meeting. He wanted council to be aware. Mayor Samples asked if any of the expenditures were budgeted. Ms. Fellner said a budget amendment would be required. Mayor Samples asked Ms. Fellner to present the committee's informal recommendations.

Ms. Fellner said the informal recommendation was to purchase 30 POM meters to replace the 30 IPF meters, and 12 additional POM meters to replace the 12 old Duncan meters that do not have any data capability. The only disadvantages to using the POM meters compared to the IPS are that the user has to take time to call the number and associate the card rather than just swiping it. The system does not allow the ability to generate advanced reports in real time; reports must be prepared by manually manipulating the data with a time delay. The town would receive a volume discount and the total cost for the POMS would be \$16,615.08 plus about \$4,000 shipping.

Ms. Fellner said the other two possibilities associated with keeping the same number of meters are (1) utilizing 28 IPS meters, 14 POMs, bases and adaptors, which would cost \$28,130.50, plus shipping and (2) using all old Duncan meters in the 16th Avenue South on-street lot, which would require 6

new POMs (non-discount price), which totals \$3,273.60, plus shipping. Disadvantages for Duncan meters are:

1024 1025

1023

- 1026
- 1027 1028
- 1029 1030
- 1031 1032 1033 1034
- 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1041 1042
- 1043 1044 1045 1046 1047 1048
- 1049 1050 1051
- 1052 1053 1054

1055

- 1056 1057 1058 1059
- 1061 1062 1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1060

1072 1073 1074

1075

1076

- a. They do not take credit cards.
- b. There is no accountability for money.
- d. They jam frequently.
- e. Fill up faster.
- F. Require more service time.

Mayor Samples asked Ms. Fellner for her recommendation, since the committee did not make a formal recommendation. Ms. Fellner thought the POM meters would be a good choice. IPS meters were great, but everyone did not have to drive a luxury vehicle.

Mr. Smith asked if POM meters had been used anywhere in town. Ms. Fellner said yes, she did not have the exact locations, but they were used throughout the town. Mr. Smith asked if they were any on Ocean Boulevard. Ms. Fellner said yes. Mr. Saunders said (from the audience) at 16th Avenue North. Mr. Smith said the information recommendation and Ms. Fellner's recommendation was to spend the \$16,615.08 plus shipping for the POM meters and that would require a budget amendment. Ms. Fellner said yes, that was correct. Mr. Smith asked if this was a total of 42 meters. Ms. Fellner said yes, that number would replace the 30 IPS meters and 12 Duncan meters still in use. Mr. Smith asked if they would be installed at 16th Avenue North. Ms. Fellner said the new meters would be placed everywhere the IPS meters were located; she did not have specific locations. Mr. Smith asked what the impact would be if the 42 meters were not installed until July 1st. Ms. Fellner said she would have to calculate the loss of revenue and figure where they could be placed to lose the least amount of revenue. Mr. Smith said some meters did not generate a lot of revenue. Ms. Fellner said as the other recommendations were considered, she believed the parking committee was trying to drive business to... Mr. Smith said to the Ocean Boulevard parking meters. Ms. Fellner said exactly.

Mayor Samples said correct.

Mr. Smith asked if a decision could be delayed until some of the other recommendations were discussed.

Mayor Samples said this recommendation would be revisited. NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THE MOTION.

3. All signs should include hours of enforcement whether in paid parking or by permit only parking areas. Signs should have white backgrounds with green lettering.

Ms. Dodge asked if green was more visible than black for signage; people were used to black and white signs. Ms. Fellner believed it was standard for parking areas and parking signage. Mr. Saunders said (from the audience) it was green and white or red and white.

Ms. Kohlmann asked if all the existing signs would have to be replaced; the existing signs on Ocean Boulevard just say parking in metered spaces only. She asked if the existing signs could have the hours added. Ms. Fellner said it depended; on side streets where permit only parking areas are recommended, that would require new signage. Ms. Kohlmann understood that, but the existing signs do not say no parking, nor are times posted. Ms. Fellner did not know if the signs could be refinished; some signs can be refinished, but some cannot be done. She did not want to misspeak. Ms. Kohlmann said this would involve another budget amendment. Signs are over \$30 each.

Mayor Samples said that Ms. Kohlmann was correct.

Mr. Smith asked if there was a cost estimate for the signage recommended in number 3. Ms. Fellner said \$30 each was a good number, and she believed there were 30 signs on each end of the boulevard, so about \$1,800.

 Mayor Samples said the cost of signs for Yaupon and Dogwood also had to be included.

Ms. Kohlmann agreed that the times should be posted on the signs and that they should state no parking where applicable to avoid confusion. When people see signs that state parking in meters only and there are meters on just one side, it is confusing. The sign should state no parking.

Mayor Samples said that was what the landscaping was supposed to address on Ocean Boulevard.

Ms. Kohlmann moved to defer action on this recommendation until the public works director could report exactly how many signs were required, where the would be located, and what the cost would be. Mr. Johnson seconded. Mayor Pro Tem Mabry, and Councilmembers Dodge, Johnson, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Ms. Fellner said to be clear about the motion, Town Council wants pricing should it accept all of the recommendations, because that would determine how many signs were needed and where they would be located.

Mayor Samples believed council should go through the recommendations to define what it wanted Mr. Adair to do.

Ms. Kohlmann moved to reconsider the vote on item number 3.

Mr. Smith believed the motion was in order, because recommendation numbers 4 and 5, if adopted would define where Mr. Adair would need to look to see how many signs he needs.

Mayor Samples said there was a motion to reconsider and asked for a second. Mr. Stevens seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION TO RECONSIDER CARRIED.**

Mayor Samples asked that the clerk restate the motion. Ms. Herrmann said Ms. Kohlmann moved to defer number 3 to Mr. Adair to report to council the pricing and the number of signs that would be needed.

Ms. Kohlmann was unsure whether this item could be decided at this time without more information.

Mayor Samples asked to defer this item until the end and bring it back tonight. If a formal deferral is necessary, it can be done later.

Ms. Mabry asked if council would entertain holding a workshop so Mr. Adair and the parking committee could participate so these questions could be answered. It was difficult to make informed decisions when there were so many questions and no one here to answer them. Town Council was guessing.

Mayor Samples thought council owed the committee the courtesy of raising questions tonight. The committee spent several hours formulating these recommendations.

- 4. Permit parking only from 3rd Avenue South to 3rd Avenue North on Yaupon Drive. Appropriate signage should be posted.
 - Mr. Stevens moved to adopt number 4 as it reads. Ms. Dodge seconded.
 - Mr. Johnson could not agree, because in his opinion, it was ludicrous.

Ms. Mabry was concerned about the private residences along that road. In theory it was a great idea, and she understood the intent of not having everyone parking on side streets away from the meters. But, she reiterated her concern about permanent residents that might have guests.

Mayor Samples said it was important to note that the recommended hours were from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Ms. Kohlmann said <u>for the record</u>, "for as few as there might be there, there are permanent residents that live on Ocean Boulevard, as well. So, it would probably be throughout the town, I mean, there's parking meters on those permanent residents' front lawns. So, I'm just stating for the record that we have residents that live in the entire Town of Surfside Beach."

Mr. Smith said permanent residents would benefit by this, because they have permits. They could park; it was the people without permits that would have to move to metered parking, and not park free on the side streets. The permanent residents really would not be impacted by this, because they have the stickers.

Ms. Stevens concurred with Mr. Smith. Most of the permanent residents have their own parking under their beach houses, and this applies to the areas where they would be parking in the rights-of-way along the streets. He thought this was a good recommendation by the parking committee.

Mayor Samples said hopefully they would not have many family or friends visit at the same time and have to park on the right-of-way.

Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmember Johnson voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

5. Permit parking only along Dogwood Drive <u>and</u> on all streets from Melody Lane to 16th Avenue North beginning at Dogwood Drive and <u>intersecting</u> with Ocean Boulevard. Appropriate signage to be posted.

Mr. Stevens moved to adopt number 5 as written. Ms. Dodge seconded.

Mr. Johnson said this is a beach town plain and simple. If you want to run people out of town, you're doing a good job of it. That was all he had to say. If you run the people out of town, so will the money be run out of town.

Mr. Stevens said he had been to other resort towns that resemble Surfside Beach. They have the same type of parking by permit only. Key West, Vero Beach, and Coco Beach were good examples. Those cities have no problem attracting tourists; they park and they pay and are glad to do so, because they want to see the sights. Whether it's the beach or fishing on the pier, they would pay.

Mayor Samples pointed out to members that this particular recommendation would affect the R-1 district on the north end. There was no distinction between which side of the street. Mayor Samples said he would not support the recommendation, but he suspected there may be a majority who would.

Mr. Smith said if this passes tonight, he would like to ask public works to advise council of the impact on R-1 and perhaps it could be adjusted at another meeting.

Mayor Samples said there was no problem asking for information, and he supported that. He just could not support restricting parking in the R-1 district.

1184 1185 1186

1196 1197 1198

1204 1205 1206

1207 1208

1209 1210 1211

1212 1213 1214

1215

1216 1217 1218

1219

1220 1221 1222

1227

1228

1229 1230 1231

1232

1233 1234 1235

1236 1237

Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmember Johnson voted against. MOTION CARRIED.

- 6. All beach crossover golf cart parking and golf cart parking in paid parking lots should have signs stating golf cart parking by permit only.
- Mr. Smith said he had a different motion to offer, and moved that designated golf cart only spaces in all paid parking lots will be by permit only; signs will be erected at all designated golf cart only parking spaces in the paid parking lots that will read golf cart parking by permit only, and all beach accesses without paid parking, golf carts may park for free. Mr. Stevens seconded.
- Mr. Johnson did not disagree with the motion, but he would like to have it brought back to the next council meeting with something in writing.
- Mr. Smith would like to vote on the motion tonight, but he wanted to ask the administrator to incorporate the council's recommendations in a resolution so that a document will clearly state what was recommended.

Mayor Samples believed that would be appropriate direction to the administrator at the end. He asked for clarification in the parking access areas the assumption was that the motion was to restrict parking to permitted golf carts only.

- Mr. Smith said that was right.
- Mr. Stevens said if he was correct, Mr. Smith said in paved parking lots.
- Mr. Smith said no, the word was "paid."
- Ms. Kohlmann said some of the beach accesses have brick work.
- Mayor Samples said that was correct, but it was not considered pavement.

Ms. Kohlmann said so it would only apply where there are machines, meters. She believed that was discussed before with an intent that people who come to the pier the golf cart parking was by permit only; others would have to go into the golf cart lot on Yaupon.

Mayor Samples asked if the intention was to make it illegal for folks who did not have a Surfside Beach permit to park unless they parked in a paid metered parking spot.

Mr. Smith said that was the intent.

Ms. Kohlmann thought the concern was that when residents go to park in those spots, there is no parking available for them, because you have to remember the state law has changed to allow golf cart drivers to travel a four mile radius from their homes. She said there is no disputing that four miles is a much larger area, which creates much more volume. Because the out of town neighbors are parking in those spaces and residents are having to park in metered spaces normally used by cars, which results in lost revenue.

Mayor Samples agreed with Ms. Kohlmann's point. He had personally never seen at the beach crossovers a time when there were not any open spaces for golf carts. Having said that there may be particular places that fill up, but he assured members for the record "that on both ends, north and south, there is plenty of golf cart parking available at those beach ends."

Ms. Kohlmann explained that she was talking about the parking lots; not beach accesses.

Mayor Samples said he was saying that now it would be illegal for nonresidents to drive across the highway and park without paying. That was his opinion.

non-pay areas like 1st Avenue, 2nd Avenue, they could park for free. This affects only the pay areas.

Mr. Stevens thought Mayor Samples was incorrect. He believed that Mr. Smith said that in the

(**) Several speaking at once.

Mr. Samples said he was at the meeting and his understanding was that it was specifically designed, which is why he made his earlier comment, about making it illegal for non-permitted golf carts to park anywhere.

 Mr. Smith said that was not correct, and restated the motion saying it concerned golf cart parking at beach accesses: "Designated golf cart only spaces in all paid parking lots will be by permit only;" it has to be a beach access and it has to be a paid parking lot, and he was sure that Ms. Fellner could name all those place, but he did not want to ask her. Mr. Smith continued saying that the appropriate signs will have to be erected, and at all beach accesses without paid parking spaces golf carts may park for free. He explained is there was no paid parking like at 1st Avenue North, and 2nd Avenue North, the unpermitted golf carts could park for free.

Ms. Fellner clarified that this was not the recommendation of the parking committee.

Mr. Smith said he made that comment earlier, and perhaps the comment was missed. He was not using the parking committee recommendation.

Mayor Samples said the intent was not to eliminate all non-permitted golf cart parking. Several members said that was not the intent. Mayor Samples said he understood.

Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmember Johnson voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

7. Parking hours should be amended from "7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m." to "9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m."

 Ms. Fellner said the estimated financial cost to change the hours was estimated at approximately \$13,000, because that was the amount taken in during the 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. hours this year.

Mr. Smith preferred to keep 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and the \$13,000 could be used to help purchase the new meters that the committee recommends. Mr. Smith moved to keep the parking hours the same; that is from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Ms. Dodge seconded.

Ms. Mabry said she could understand changing from 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., but she believed the intent was to help the businesses out. Not very many people arrive at 7:00 a.m., maybe more around 8:00 a.m., but she thought the parking committee did an excellent job. They really studied every dime and she could support 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

 Ms. Kohlmann said the amount of money mentioned, \$13,000, was only for those two hours, so if somebody was to offer a different time...

Mayor Samples supported trying to help the business community at the pier in the sense that if they could ever reach the success that the previous tenants had, they would get a lot of walk in traffic from people that come to town and enjoy having breakfast at the pier. He understood that part of the recommendation was partly because of a staffing issue. He reminded everyone that all the recommendation had a consequence and affect the contractor, because the contractor performs

enforcement. He asked if the contract with Lanier had been revised to reflect the changes and whether Lanier's budget estimate reflects the increase in the level of effort anticipated by adoption of the permit only parking areas. Ms. Fellner said when she met with Lanier, the parking committee had not yet met. So, the parking committee recommendations were not included in the Lanier negotiations. Lanier is coming back with some other recommendations, and the contract does call for enforcement by Lanier from Dogwood Drive to Ocean, and Lanier thinks it will be an easy enforcement. Basically, their employees can travel down the road and look for cars without a permit affixed. Mayor Samples said the expectation is there will be an increase in level of effort. Ms. Fellner thought there would be.

- Mr. Stevens asked if Ms. Fellner knew the actual reason the parking committee made the recommendation on number 7. Ms. Fellner believed it was businesses. Chairman Saunders said (from the audience) said the committee was trying to help the pier restaurant.
- Mr. Smith voted in favor. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmembers Dodge, Johnson, Kohlmann, and Stevens voted against. **MOTION FAILED.**
- Mr. Stevens moved to adopt number 7 as written by the parking committee. Ms. Kohlmann seconded. Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmembers Dodge, Johnson, Kohlmann, and Stevens voted favor. Mr. Smith voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**
- 8. Bike Week free motorcycle trailer parking should continue in perpetuity until such time as Town Council decides to change the use; allowed in the 13th Avenue South parking lot and the 16th Avenue North parking lot during the two bike week events.
 - Mr. Stevens moved to adopt number 8 as written. Ms. Mabry seconded.

Mayor Samples suggested for future consideration that special dispensation might also be made the for Guy Daniels Memorial Surf-off event that is a home-grown foundation. Guy Daniels grew up in Surfside Beach and died of a heart attack while jogging on Dogwood Drive. The event is a bona fide charity event that raises money to help area students. In the past, the council allowed free parking during the event.

All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

- 9. Public works should post proper signage for all areas where "parking by permit only" and/or "paid" parking are allowed.
- Mr. Stevens moved to adopt number 9 as written by the parking committee. Ms. Dodge seconded.
- Mr. Smith said Mr. Adair's input was needed on costs. Proper signage was necessary, but he would like to know the additional cost amount before adoption.
 - Mr. Stevens and Ms. Dodge withdrew the motion and second.
- Ms. Fellner said there were two informal recommendations for Town Council to consider. The first was the one already discussed about purchasing the POM meters at a cost of \$16,615.08 plus shipping of approximately \$4,000. The second informal recommendation was for the town to ask SCDOT (South Carolina Department of Transportation) to place no parking signs in the rights-of-way along Melody Lane from Dogwood Drive to Ocean Boulevard.
- Mr. Stevens moved to adopt the recommendation to request that SCDOT place no parking signs in the rights-of-way along Melody Lane from Dogwood Drive to Ocean Boulevard. Ms. Dodge seconded.

Mayor Samples, Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry, and Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Councilmember Johnson voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Ms. Fellner restated recommendation number 2, Leave all existing parking meters and pay stations in place for the ensuing year.

Mayor Samples asked what that meant in terms of the recommendation for purchasing meters. Ms. Fellner said it would be a budget amendment for \$16, 615.08, plus shipping, which is the informal recommendation of the parking committee.

Mr. Stevens moved to accept recommendation 2 as stated, and to accept the informal recommendation to purchase meters at a cost of \$16,615.08, plus shipping. Ms. Dodge seconded. Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry and Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples and Councilmember Johnson voted against. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Ms. Fellner said numbers 3 and 9 had to be deferred until costs could be determined. That information would be presented to Town Council at the next meeting.

Mayor Samples asked what information would be presented by Mr. Adair. Ms. Fellner said the approximate number of signs and the cost to refurbish existing signage and purchase new signs as necessary to implement the proposed recommendations.

Mr. Smith asked how long it would take for delivery and installation. Ms. Fellner said from the date the order was placed it would take approximately six weeks. Staff usually assists Lanier with installation and installation was completed within two to three days. Mr. Smith asked if all the poles were in place and the meter had to be put on top of the poles. Ms. Fellner said all of the poles were in place, but the POM meters require 15 additional mounting adapters, and that was included in the cost. Mr. Smith asked if the mounting adapters were dual or single. Ms. Fellner said they were dual. Mr. Smith said if this was delayed until July 1st, they wouldn't be installed until September or October. Ms. Fellner said that was correct. Mr. Smith said basic cost with shipping would be around \$21,000. Ms. Fellner said between \$20,000 and \$21,000, based on the shipping for other meter orders. She did not want council to think the shipping cost would be \$200 and then it be \$4,000.

Mr. Stevens asked if it was possible to have the sign pricing by the next council meeting. Ms. Fellner said yes.

Mr. Smith moved to buy 42 POM meters to be installed per the administrator's direction for a cost of \$16,615, plus shipping. Ms. Mabry seconded.

Mr. Smith said it pained him to make the motion, but he felt like the town needed the meters, but it really hurt.

Mayor Samples said it was a surprise, maybe council should have known, but he did not believe the committee knew about it until the very end, and it was something that was last minute discussed. To the extent the unbudgeted items can be avoided, when council knows in advance; staff has to work hard to identify those items, so when they come forward there are no surprises. Ms. Fellner said in all fairness, staff could not make that recommendation or say that would be an expenditure, when staff does not know if council would even keep parking. Council may have decided to remove many of the meters, then there would have been no shortfall. Mayor Samples understood, but the fact of the matter was there is a 5-year contract with Lanier. Ms. Fellner said the contract could be cancelled with 30-days notice. Mayor Samples understood, but said staff must use best judgment just as council does. His point was the more unbudgeted items there were showed that they were headed in the wrong direction. No one wants to go that way.

1400 1401 1402

1399

1403 1404

1414 1415 1416

1417 1418 1419

1420 1421 1422

1423

1424

1430

1431

1432 1433 1434

1435

1445 1446

1444

1452

Ms. Mabry said when the parking meetings were held, council was informed that the loaner meters were being used and that they would have to be replaced. She remembered that conversation very well, because they sent the "fancy-dancy" ones, and she was sure the company wanted the town to continue to keep them.

Mayor Samples asked if anyone knew how much was paid to the town for the old meters. Ms. Fellner said the old meters were cannibalized; many of them no longer work, there were about 89 functioning meters at the end of the last parking season that the town handled.

Mayor Pro Tempore Mabry and Councilmembers Dodge, Kohlmann, Smith and Stevens voted in favor. Mayor Samples and Councilmember Johnson voted no. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Samples expressed appreciation to Chairman Saunders and the parking committee members for their hard work.

E. Accommodations Tax Committee (May be deferred until after executive session.) i. Resignation - Tony Daniell. ii. Appointment - Melodye Lane-Laveglia.

This item was deferred until after executive session.

8. DISCUSSION – Any matters of concern or information to be discussed by Town Council.

Mr. Smith thought that there should be a process by which when someone volunteers for a committee that they be asked to provide a short resume. Some of the folks are known very well by councilmembers; some of them were not. Mr. Smith said the requests should be consistent and everybody should submit a short resume, because the councilmembers need to know the volunteers' current address, email address, how long they have lived in town, what type of community service they have done, and a little bit of job history so they can get a flavor of what their expertise is. Mr. Smith was not sure of the process, but he believed that should be adopted.

Mayor Samples said unless there was a strong objection, this could be adopted as a matter of policy so the clerk could ensure there was sufficient information for council's consideration and to make a decision.

Ms. Mabry said the current code does not require a resume, so an amendment should be offered.

Mayor Samples did not mind changing the ordinance, but he did not want to get specific about personal details that the resume should include.

Ms. Mabry said a policy could not be adopted that conflicted with the ordinance.

Mayor Samples asked how this would contradict the ordinance.

Ms. Mabry said the ordinance simply states that the volunteers should call the clerk and give their information. Now, a resume is being requested, which she did not oppose, but the policy and the ordinance conflicted.

Mayor Samples personally believed a policy was adequate for this council, and personally believed there were instances when volunteers applied when the members did not know them, and they were not appointed, because members knew nothing about them. That practice could continue, but then it would place the council in a pick and choose situation, and he thought it would be better to have a resume from everyone to treat all volunteers the same. When the vacancies are advertised, then a resume could be requested, but even so, everyone's resume would be different.

 Ms. Kohlmann believed if someone was uncomfortable with the policy, it would be a quick reading of an ordinance adding one line to it, it made someone feel better about it. She was not opposed to it; she would be okay with a policy or an ordinance amendment. Ms. Kohlmann said there have been people that disliked having policy, because they say it cannot be enforced. She agreed with Ms. Mabry.

Mayor Samples did not disagree, and perhaps that could be done in-house without going to the attorney. He asked if there were any objections to the proposal. **There were no objections.**

Mr. Stevens concurred with Ms. Mabry and Ms. Kohlmann. It was an excellent suggestion.

Mayor Samples said the administrator had the direction.

Mr. Smith wanted to say that the first reading of the dock ordinance passed at this meeting, and for those that are interested in that ordinance, they should go to the planning commission meeting on February 4th, and express their opinions.

9. PUBLIC COMMENTS - General Comments (5 minutes.)

"(**) Ron Ott, a few years ago I gave a resume. Is it still on record or do you want a (**.) Here's a want to say, thank you to Director Morris for Tom Britton in house for the planning and zoning people and the board of zoning appeals, so we don't have to travel all the way into Georgetown and back. Greatly appreciate it every time you do that. I always like to say things forward, if I have any questions for any person, I'm not that type of person that does rumors, and talks on the telephone to find out information. I was at that that meeting, which was three and a half hours long. Basically, we were there with the board of zoning appeals and the planning and zoning. There was a lot of, that time, a couple of hours on political influence in, for financial gain, was a good part of this training and the, the, the members present there for the planning and zoning brought up a point that Councilman Stevens was asked to not come to that meeting, because at that meeting he brought, a couple reasons, two, three meetings, he brought a manufacturer of docks, an installer of docks, and he brought, and I'm right here. I'm not doing this behind your back, because this was discussed in depth at this training session that this man was there and the planning and zoning asked that you not come back to their meetings, because this guy was selling docks, and you brought him there. Now, look at, I looked at the dock, okay, and you fight for property rights. My property rights are not violated, and nobody's are. Every one of us can slip a boat into that water tomorrow and the next year every day as long as we want. There's no property rights being violated, so I wonder, I wonder severely why you fight so vehemently for property rights that are not being violated or was it financial gain? That's what I ask. What is your connection to a salesman that you brought to the planning and zoning while they were writing? That's my question. That's what I want to know, because it looks like this whole thing on your agenda. If we approve docks and this man comes in and put docks in these lakes, you're getting a kickback. You brought him in here. I didn't bring him in."

Ms. Kohlmann said Point of Order.

Mayor Samples agreed with Ms. Kohlmann.

Mr. Ott: It needs to be clear. I don't want (**). It was done in public and he wanted to know answers."

Mayor Samples told Mr. Ott that he believed his point was made, and he might have gone a little too far. He offered Mr. Stevens a chance to respond, if there were no objections, and he so desired. **There were no objections.**

Mr. Stevens said, "My only response is Mr. Ott has his First Amendment right to say and speak whatever he wants to. I have no problem with that. He's says he is voicing his opinion. He has every right as a citizen to do that. I respect that right, and I stand behind him to say whatever he wants to say."

1505

1506 1507

1508 1509

1510

1511

1512 1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547 1548

1549 1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

Mr. Ott: "Like I said, this was brought up at training. I was never present at the planning and zoning meeting, but this was what I was told. I thought I would bring it out in case it comes up at a later date. Now, we can clear the air. Thank you very much."

"Ralph Magliette, Harbor Lights Drive. I'm here as a representative of South Strand Helping Hand, also for the Neighbor to Neighbor program, and I just want to give a year-end follow-up on South Strand Helping Hand and on the Neighbor to Neighbor, because last time I was here we were talking about the Christmas season and what was going on with South Strand Helping Hand and being an engineer, I have year-end data, and this little note from Susan Alexander, director of South Strand Helping Hand, thanking everybody. Everything I have to say is good news. There's no bad news in what I have to say, so okay, this is really a wonderful thing that shows what a wonderful town we have and the caring and loving people that we have that help the needy and do the needful things every day, those invisible volunteers in the background who help these organizations, who help people that need help, and that's what we should be focused on. So, I'll just read the letter very quickly. We helped 238 families in the following ways in December: 249 were helped with food; a total of 597 people; 49 people were helped with electric; 6 were helped with water; 2 were helped with lodging; 46 were helped with clothes; 4 were helped with diapers; 1 was helped with prescriptions, and 4 were helped with gasoline. Now, the good thing; Merry Christmas and Happy New Year. I hope everyone had a great time over the holidays. We took a week off at Helping Hand after the Christmas rush. This year 571 children were given wonderful Christmases thanks to the generosity of churches, individuals, Surfside Beach people, the Surfside Beach firemen, police and many caring people who helped make it possible to give a gift. Thank you to all the citizens of Surfside Beach for their help and recognition of their service, and I would also like to say, and we talked about this last time, if you remember, I was concerned with the fact that we'd only budgeted 450 Christmas gifts. We called it angel tree; were we gonna have the resources to be able to provide for everyone who came in. So, it is a miracle to me, and a lot of because people come here, people read these minutes, they understand what the organization does, from the 450, which I didn't think we could reach, we were able to provide 571 children with Christmas gifts, their toys and their clothing. Another note, if you remember, we were talked about it; I was concerned that we weren't going to have money. We were running a deficit, because of my appeal and talking with people, and again the work of many people here who want to be invisible, we got a surge of financial contributions and so for the end of the year, believe it or not we had a surplus of \$1,488. I thank everybody for their donations, and if you look at total clients, because I'm a data driven quy, in the year 2013 the organization was able to help 10,933 people. So, I thank you very much for that. Secondly, earlier in the year we talked about the town was very good about supporting the Neighbor to Neighbor program, and again the Neighbor to Neighbor program is through Grace Ministries to provide transportation to medical appointments, grocery shopping, errands, other life saving and life enhancing appointments and events for homebound seniors and chronically ill adults in our community. We have the number, if people need a ride, which is 843.839.0702."

Mayor Samples asked Mr. Magliette to pause while the tape was changed.

Mr. Magliette continued, "Help us help your neighbors to continue to live independently for as long as possible. If anyone needs their help, again these are free rides, all they need to do is call the number, which is 843.839.0702. They are also looking for volunteers, if you could just provide two rides a month to help people, and as I do with all these things, being an engineer, I need to give a year end summary to see how successful we are to try to get the program down in the South Strand and the area. I asked Mr. Joe Kunkel, who is the executive director of Grace Ministries Neighbor to Neighbor, to give me a summary and he said to me basically as promised, here's our client numbers as you have requested. They keep track of our rides regionally, so for you I have provided the stats on the South Strand area. This area covers Socastee, Surfside Beach, Murrells Inlet, and Garden City. For 2013,

Neighbor to Neighbor provided 1,819 transports to individuals living in the South Strand area, which is remarkable; again, when they say the transport that is both ways, so you can double that. The South Strand Helping Hand was closed between Christmas and New Years, but we still have to pick up food from Bi-Lo and from Kroger. If we don't pick it up, the food is thrown into the dumpster, which we could never allow, and so I was trying to find a local organization who could take the food so it wouldn't have to be thrown out, and I had tried a couple of people, if you knew any families were in the need of food, we could help them. I said to my wife, Patti, who I drive crazy all the time, it's a miracle she's still married to me (*time ended*). My time's up? (**) If anyone's interested in the programs, I have the cards for Neighbors and I have the things for South Strand Helping Hand. Thank you."

"Carrie Johnson, Harbor Lights Drive. I just have a, I'll be really quick. I just would like to know in all of these hours and hours and hours that the parking committee spent doing what they did, did they take any time at all to get a consensus from the folks who live on the streets that were affected? Just wondering."

Mayor Samples said he saw someone shaking their head. For the record, a member of the committee was shaking his head no, okay.

Ms. Johnson: "I'm beginning to wonder maybe, if we just need to change the name of the town to Surfsign [sic] Beach and be sure and let all of our neighbors and the tourists [know] that they were no longer welcome. Thank you."

10. COUNCIL COMMENTS.

Ms. Kohlmann: "No comments from me, Mr. Mayor."

Mr. Stevens: "I want to read something that was said in a planning and zoning committee. At the time when I heard it, I kind of sat back and I said, you know, made sense. This was said by Ms. Johnson and she said:

Well, I've been doing a lot of research and read a lot of articles about city council members attending other board meetings, and pretty much all the articles that I've read pretty much say the same thing. So, I want to read a little bit from this one that, he's an assistant city attorney for a couple of different cities. This is what he has to say about it:

As innocent as a councilmember's motives may be, when he or she personally attends a planning commission meeting or another subordinate committee meeting, he or she may be crossing an ethical boundary. Councilmembers do not violate any laws; however, they run the risk of potentially revealing a biased view, thereby causing their own disqualification should the matter at hand subsequently come before the council; interfering with the role of the commission as an independent advisory body; and also they run the risk of not acting in accordance with the views of the city council as a whole. Most city councilmembers know to steer clear of the somewhat hazy legal boundaries that might cause them to be disqualified from the decision making process due to bias. Because the right to due process is attached to many of these types of commission decisions the participants in those proceedings have the right to an unbiased decision maker at the city council level. A councilmember who comments at the commission meeting and indicates a firm position on a particular matter may be subjected to a challenge for bias when the same issue reaches the city council. Beyond the issue of perceived bias, participating in the commission meeting raises other ethical questions. For instance, councilmembers who [sic] have the authority to remove a commission member, with this power, councilmembers mere attendance at a meeting can be highly influential, especially when he or she makes his or her opinions known. This influence may jeopardize a significant role of the commission, which is to provide independent

recommendations or decisions to the city council. When a city establishes a commission, the city council has also by implication indicated its desire to have an independent body make decisions or recommendations. The presence of the appointing authority at the commission meetings affects that independence. Councilmembers normally receive the same information from city staff or from their subordinate commissions or the public's testimony. This allows the councilmembers as a body to draw conclusions in a fair, balanced way based upon the same factual foundation. City councilmembers may undermine this expectation when they individually attend meetings of a subordinate commission. To some extent, the councilmember who attends collects information that will not reach other councilmembers in quite the same way. Those councilmembers who attend may also influence either the outcome of the commission's deliberation or how the matter will eventually be presented before the council in ways not available to the councilmembers who do not attend the commission meeting. To remain firmly upright on the ethical tightrope, councilmembers should wait until the commission makes its recommendation to the city council in its entirety, thus preserving the original intent of both the independent commission and the city council. This does not deprive councilmembers of the ability to learn what occurs at a commission meeting. Information can also be obtained by reading commission meeting minutes. A councilmember's personal presence at or participation in a commission meeting, on the other hand, could reveal a bias view, disrupt the independence of the commission or exert undue influence on the commission, regardless of the councilmembers intent. It is best avoided.

Mr. Stevens: "She continued saying 'she said further so, you know, like I said, I, I had done quite a bit of research on that, and so I thought that was very important to bring that to our attention." Mr. Stevens: "When Ms. Johnson said that, I listened to it, and I took her word, and since then I have not attended any committee meetings whatsoever, and I recommend that every councilmember take a look at this. It's on the June 3rd meeting. Don't go to any committee meetings; tell 'em you need four votes or (**) you need this or you've got (**), leave 'em alone. Let these volunteers who spend their time with no pay, let them have full reign on what they do. I agree with Ms. Johnson, and I appreciate that she set me straight. Thank you, and by the way, I want to say, Mr. Magliette, I want to thank him for bringing up the idea of a senior citizen committee several years ago. That's all."

Ms. Mabry: "No comments."

Mr. Smith: "I'd like to thank all those people who showed up at 6:30. It was a nice crowd. I wished they had stayed for the whole meeting, and I wish they would show up at every meeting. It's nice to have a big crowd. Thank you very much."

Ms. Dodge: "I don't have a comment."

Mr. Johnson: "No comment."

Mayor Samples: "Well, I appreciate everyone turning out. I think that as elected officials we should always remember that we are doing the public's business, and that personal gain, really there's no place for it in the service of the public. Having said that, I also know that members who are disciplined, and that really is what it's about, in my judgment, there's no place like getting first hand information than at the committees, and getting it unfettered, and so, I think what the article, the reading, and Ms. Johnson's comment suggest is, yeah, you have to make a judgment and you should always remember when you are involved in town business activities that you're a public servant. That's why people elected you."

11. EXECUTIVE SESSION

- A. Pursuant to FOIA §30-4-70(a)(2) to discuss proposed contractual arrangements, Administrator Fellner.
- B. Pursuant to FOIA §30-4-70(a)(1) to discuss the appointment of a person to a public body (See Business Item 7.E.)

Mayor Samples said for the record that Town Council need to enter executive session pursuant to FOIA §30-4-70(a)(2) to discuss proposed contractual arrangements, and pursuant to FOIA §30-4-70(a)(1) to discuss the appointment of a person to a public body.

- Mr. Smith moved to enter executive session at 10:00 p.m. Ms. Mabry seconded. All voted in favor. **MOTION CARRIED.**
- Mr. Smith moved to reconvene regular session at 10:24 p.m. Mr. Johnson seconded. All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Samples said that no action was taken during executive session.

Mr. Smith moved to accept the resignation of Tony Daniell from the accommodations tax committee and to appoint Melodye Lane-Laveglia to the accommodations tax committee for a four year term. Ms. Dodge seconded. All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

12. ADJOURNMENT.

Mr. Smith moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:25 p.m. Ms. Mabry seconded. All voted in favor. MOTION CARRIED.

Pre	epared and submitted by,
Approved: January 28, 2014	bra E. Herrmann, CMC, Town Clerk samples, Mayor
Mary Beth Mabry, Mayor Pro Tempore	Ann Dodge, Town Council
Mark L. Johnson, Town Council	Elizabeth A. Kohlmann, Town Council
Roderick E. Smith, Town Council	Randle M. Stevens, Town Council

Clerk's Note: This document constitutes minutes of the meeting that was audio taped. These are detailed minutes documenting each member's comments. Appointments to hear audio tapes may be scheduled with the town clerk. In accordance with FOIA, meeting notice and the agenda were distributed to local media and interested parties. The agenda was posted on the town website, the entry door at Town Council Chambers, and in the Town Hall reception area. Meeting notice was also posted on the Town marquee. Public Comments and Town Council Comments are transcribed as close to verbatim as possible. When (**) is used a section of the tape is inaudible.